_		
1		ES DISTRICT COURT FOR RICT OF WASHINGTON
2	1	EATTLE
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. CR03-88C
4	Plainti	·
5	v.	) May 27 & 28, 2003
6	LAURA JEAN MARIE STRUCKMAI	N, )
7	Defenda	nt. )
8		
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		·
13	For the Plaintiff: La:	T Warelel
14	Ma:	rry J. Wszalek rk T. Odulio
15	De	ristopher J. Maietta partment of Justice - Tax Division ial Attorneys
16	60	0 E Street NW shington, D.C. 20530
17		o se
18	For the Defendant:	
19		len R. Bentleywisk
20	11	torney at Law  11 Third Avenue, Suite 2220
21	5e	attle, Washington 98101-3207 A define Sta
22	Taranh II nath	
23	Joseph F. Roth Official Court Reporter 600 U.S. Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104	
24		
25	(206) 553-1899  Proceedings recorded by computer-aided stenography.	
	rioceedings recorded by computer-aided scenography.	

```
THE CLERK: Case No. CR03-88C, United States versus
1
2
    Struckman. Counsel, please make your appearances.
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, for the United States Mark
3
    Odulio.
4
5
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, Chris Maietta on behalf of.
    the United States.
6
7
             MR. WSZALEK: Larry Wszalek.
             THE COURT: All right. And let the record show that
 8
    the defendant is present. Do you have anything you need to take
 9
    up before we select the jury?
10
11
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor, just some housekeeping
12
    matters. Just to let the Court know, we have given Madam Clerk
    a revised exhibit list this morning. I'm not sure if the Court
13
    wants to take up the matter of exhibits this morning.
14
15
             THE COURT: No.
             MR. ODULIO: Also, Your Honor, we have a change in our
16
    witness list. One of the witnesses will not be testifying
17
18
    today. His name is Jeffrey Lee.
19
             THE COURT: Will he not be testifying at all?
20
             MR. ODULIO: He will not be testifying at all, yes,
    Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT: All right.
23
             MR. ODULIO: And the government anticipates that it may
24
    be able to get its case in today.
25
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Struckman, during the
```

course of jury selection one of the things the Court will do I will be to ask the jurors if they know any of the people who are 2 going to be called as witnesses. 3 4 Is there anybody you want me to ask them about that you 5 might be intending to call as a witness in the case? THE DEFENDANT: (Shakes head.) 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Let the record show that she indicated no. Are you ready to start the jury selection? 8 Bentley? 9 10 MR. BENTLEY: Your Honor, as involuntary standby, 11 involuntary from the point of view of the defendant, I would like permission to sit in the first row here --12 13 THE COURT: By all means. 14 MR. BENTLEY: -- rather than next to the defendant. 15 THE COURT: By all means. Ms. Struckman, if at any 16 time you want to consult with Mr. Bentley, just say so, or 17 somehow indicate and I'll stop the trial so you can consult with him, okay? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: (Nods head.) MR. BENTLEY: For the record, I did spend some time 20 21 with her this morning before Court. 22 THE COURT: Before we get started with jury selection, let me raise one issue that I want to make sure that I'm 23 thinking clearly about. I gather from the government's trial 24 brief that you may attempt to introduce evidence regarding how 25

these funds were generated, that is, through the tax avoidance seminars.

And I want to talk about the admissibility of that evidence and make certain that the Court thinks carefully and thoroughly about that issue. So go ahead.

MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, a couple of reasons why that evidence is relevant. First, it provides the jury information, evidence of why the structuring occurred. Specifically, the seminars generated a lot of money, and the bank records, which we will be introducing, reflect the deposits from that business into those accounts, which form the basis in part of the structuring.

Secondly, the subject matter of these seminars touched in part on a tax scheme called sovereignty, and the aspects of that which the government believes are relevant are dealing in cash, the nominee bank accounts which are opened up in the names of nominees, rather than their own names, not using a Social Security number or TIN on opening the bank accounts. All of those are aspects of the tax scheme called sovereignty, which was the subject matter of many of these seminars.

THE COURT: Are there indications in the seminar materials that deal with this -- the structuring or the reporting requirements?

MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, we do expect that a witness in this matter will discuss structuring and cash transactions, the

1 understanding that she had based on her experience through these seminars, that that was a widely accepted practice amongst --2 THE COURT: Is this based upon statements made by the 3 defendant or co-conspirators of the defendant? 4 MR. ODULIO: It's based in part, but it's based more on 5 what her understanding is of the scheme. 6 7 THE COURT: That may be problematic. Are you talking 8 about prior bad acts, or are you talking about information that is part of the transactions that are the conspiracy, the alleged 9 10 conspiracy? MR. ODULIO: I think we're talking about information 11 that's part of the conspiracy. 12 13 THE COURT: Are you -- are you talking also under 404(b) about evidence that goes to the intent issue? 14 MR. ODULIO: Well, we believe it does go to the intent 15 issue, Your Honor. And the witness, Shoshanna Szuch, which is 16 the witness the government anticipates, will be the only witness 17 18 who will be discussing this matter, and we are not planning on 19 eliciting specific testimony about specific statements. 20 The government's plan is to have her discuss her understanding of the scheme based on her employment with Global 21 Prosperity and her participation via attending the seminars and 22 23 listening to the tape series, which was the basis of the 24 business.

THE COURT: Well, we'll see how a foundation is laid.

```
Have you discussed this issue with Ms. Struckman, Mr. Bentley?
 1
             MR. BENTLEY: No, Your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: All right. You might sometime after we get
 3
    the jury selected, if she's willing to talk to you about it,
 4
    talk about the possibility of her objecting to this evidence,
 5
    and making certain that a record is made of -- that allows the
 6
    Court to make a determination of whether it's admissible or not.
 7
             MR. BENTLEY: Very well.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in the jury.
    Counsel, I propose to conduct all the voir dire myself. That
10
    way Ms. Struckman, as a pro se defendant, won't have a
11
12
    disadvantage in the jury selection.
        (Brief Pause.)
13
              THE COURT: Yes. Anybody seated on the left-hand side
14
    of the rows, other than the first row, if you would move over to
15
    the other side of the courtroom, because the jury will be seated
16
    where you are now.
17
        (Brief Pause.)
18
             MARSHAL: Your Honor, may I approach briefly?
19
20
             THE COURT: Yes.
         (Brief pause.)
21
              THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, from time to time during the
22
23
    course of the jury selection process we may conduct part of the
    proceedings at the sidebar, and when I say can I see the parties
24
25
    at sidebar, that means -- that includes yourself also.
```

please feel free to come up here and participate whenever we do anything at sidebar, okay? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: (Nods head.) (Brief pause.) 4 While we're waiting -- could somebody close 5 THE COURT: that door? While we're waiting for the jurors, Ms. Struckman, 6 7 what we're going to be doing in the jury selection process is I will be asking the jurors a bunch of questions about their 8 background, and who they know, and whether they've participated 9 or had disputes with the government, or tax problems, or the 10 like. 11 As that information is elicited, you should keep in mind 12 that there are two things I will be asking you after that 13 14 process is completed. One is do you have any challenges for cause. That means has anything come out during the questions 15 I've asked of the jurors that you believe suggests that a 16 17 juror -- a prospective juror could not be fair and impartial in 18 your case. 19 And if you believe that there is such a person -- I'll ask are there any challenges for cause, and I'll invite you to make 20 the challenges up at sidebar. So be listening during the course 21 of my questioning of the jurors for anything that you think 22

might bear upon their ability to be fair and impartial. Secondly, at the end of the questioning, I'll be asking you

23

24

25

to exercise peremptory challenges. By that I mean each side can

challenge up to a certain number of jurors for any reason whatsoever that doesn't have to do with -- well, basically any reason whatsoever, anybody that you think you would prefer not to have on the jury you can challenge for cause -- peremptorily. And I'll ask then at that point that you write those names and juror numbers down.

You can challenge up to 10 people for peremptory challenges. The government can challenge up to six people for peremptory challenges. So at the end of the selection process or the questioning I'll be asking you do you have any peremptory challenges. If so, write them down and hand them up.

When I say that then, the clerk will have given you a sheet of paper on which to write the names and the numbers of people that you want to challenge and you don't prefer to have on the jury, okay?

THE DEFENDANT: (Nods head.)

THE COURT: When I ask for that, it will refer to all the jurors, those jurors in the box and those additional prospective jurors seated on the benches that aren't in the box, okay? All right.

(Brief Pause.)

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you folks who are not able to get on this side have a seat on the first bench over here. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Will those of you who are prospective jurors in this case please stand and raise

your right hand to be sworn.

(Prospective jurors sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated. Let me give you just a brief outline, ladies and gentlemen, of what's going to happen this morning. We will end up with 12 of you selected to serve as jurors in this case. The rest of you will be finished well before noon, in all likelihood. You will be excused, and that will constitute your jury service for this term of federal court.

The 12 of you will probably be finished no later than tomorrow, at the very latest sometime on Wednesday, in all likelihood. I'll be asking a number of questions of all of you to help the lawyers and the parties select a fair and impartial jury in this case.

I'll tell you a little bit about the case when I get started on that process. And then we will go through the panel and have each of you say a little bit about yourselves to help the parties and the lawyers. And then we will select the 12 who will sit on the jury.

At this time the clerk will call the jury. By that I mean she will call out your name and a number. Please remember that number, because we will refer to you by the -- by number during the course of the jury selection process.

In addition, as she calls out your name and number, please take seats in the order in which you're called as you're called,

with juror number 1 seated on the front row of the jury box in the seat that's nearest me. 1 through 6 on the front row. 7 through 14 on the back row. And then continuing on with juror number 15 in the position where the gentlemen is in the red shirt. If you'll stand up. Juror number 15 will be there. And 15 through 20 on that row. And then continuing on on those rows until we get everybody seated.

As we get to the benches, some of you will not have been called, and you'll be seated in places that those jurors who have been called will be seated. So if you'll just get up and move to the back of benches, I'd appreciate it, so we can seat everybody in the order in which they're called.

If you'll call the jury, please.

THE CLERK: Juror number one, Margaret Frazier,

F-r-a-z-i-e-r, Margaret Frazier; juror number two, Gladys

Mildred Fisher, F-i-s-h-e-r, Gladys Fisher; juror number three,

Katherine Sutherland, S-u-t-h-e-r-l-a-n-d, Katherine Sutherland;

juror number four, Daniel Voller, V-o-l-l-e-r, Daniel Voller;

juror number five, Mary Ann Reece, R-e-e-c-e, Mary Ann Reece.

Juror number six, Wendy Waites, W-a-i-t-e-s, Wendy Waites; juror number seven, Suzanne Taitingfong, T-a-i-t-i-n-g-f-o-n-g, Suzanne Taitingfong; juror number eight, Van Spohn, S-p-o-h-n, Van Spohn. Juror number nine --

THE COURT: Wait just a moment and let them get up here. Number seven is Ms. Taitingfong, and Mr. Spohn is number

```
1
    eight. Okay.
2
             THE CLERK: Juror number nine, Jeffrey Keever,
    K-e-e-v-e-r, Jeffrey Keever; juror number 10, Mona Page,
3
    P-a-g-e, Mona Page; juror number 11, Elizabeth Davis, D-a-v-i-s,
4
5
    Elizabeth Davis; juror number 12, Richard Phillips,
    P-h-i-l-i-p-s, Richard Phillips; juror number 13, Bernice
6
7
    Baker, B-a-k-e-r, Bernice Baker; juror number 14, Paul Grohe,
    G-r-o-h-e, Paul Grohe.
8
             THE COURT: You folks on the first row might want to
9
    move back now, if you would, please.
10
             THE CLERK: Juror number 15, Albert Leonard,
11
12
    L-e-o-n-a-r-d, Albert Leonard; juror number 16, Teresa Ducharme,
    D-u-c-h-a-r-m-e, Teresa Ducharme; juror number 17, Brian Clark,
13
14
    C-l-a-r-k, Brian Clark; juror number 18, Lee Wolf, W-o-l-f, Lee
    Wolf; juror number 19, Linda Dean, D-e-a-n, Linda Dean; juror
15
    number 20, Brendan O'Neill, O-'-N-e-i-l-1, Brendan O'Neill.
16
        Juror number 21, David Manzanares, M-a-n-z-a-n-a-r-e-s,
17
18
    David Manzanares; juror number 22, Jody Day, D-a-y, Jody Day;
    juror number 23, Karl Bargmeyer, B-a-r-q-m-e-y-e-r, Karl
19
20
    Bargmeyer; juror number 24, Noel Walther, W-a-1-t-h-e-r, Noel
21
    Walther; juror number 25, Patricia Hutton, H-u-t-t-o-n, Patricia
    Hutton.
22
23
        Juror number 26, Michael Lohss, L-o-h-s-s, Michael Lohss;
24
    juror number 27, Raymond Knutsen, K-n-u-t-s-e-n, Raymond
    Knutsen; juror number 28, Maurice Fox, F-o-x, Maurice Fox; juror
```

number 29, Norman Douglas, D-o-u-g-l-a-s, Norman Douglas; juror 1 number 30, Michael Boucher, B-o-u-c-h-e-r, Michael Boucher. 2 Juror number 31, Jacque Bates, B-a-t-e-s, Jacque Bates; 3 juror number 32, Lauri LeClech, L-e-C-l-e-c-h, Lauri LeClech; 4 juror number 33, Allen Schultz, S-c-h-u-l-t-z, Allen Schultz; 5 6 juror number 34, Kevin Kerkof, K-e-r-k-o-f, Kevin Kerkof; juror number 35, Yousef Arefi-Afshar, A-r-e-f-i - A-f-s-h-a-r, Yousef 7 Arefi-Afshar; juror number 36, Bruce Davie, D-a-v-i-e, Bruce 8 9 Davie; and juror number 37, Brian Kerntke, K-e-r-n-t-k-e, Brian Kerntke. 10 Your Honor, we have 37 jurors. 11 THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, my 12 comments from this point will be addressed to both those of you 13 seated in the jury box and those additional jurors seated on the 14 15 benches. 16 I'll be asking you a number of questions, which are intended solely to assist the Court and the parties in selecting a fair 17 18 and impartial jury. It is very important that you respond to these questions truthfully and candidly, because it is 19 20 fundamental to our system of justice that the parties are entitled to a fair and impartial jury. 21 In this case the government, the United States, has charged 22 the defendant, Ms. Struckman, with criminal charges contained in 23 24 an indictment. An indictment is nothing more than the method by

which the government commences a criminal prosecution, and it is

not evidence of anything.

But in this indictment the government charges that Ms. Struckman participated in a scheme to mask the fact that she was making transactions that were above a certain level that requires filing of reports with the government about cash transactions, and that she intended to do so in order to avoid this reporting requirement. Now, this is nothing more than a summary of what the government alleges. It's not evidence of anything. But it is contained in the indictment.

At government's counsel table -- if you will introduce yourselves, counsel. And listen carefully to these names and then look at these folks and tell me if you think you know any of these people.

MR. ODULIO: Mark Odulio for the United States.

MR. MAIETTA: Chris Maietta for the United States.

MR. WSZALEK: Larry Wszalek for the United States.

THE COURT: And do you have a case agent, counsel?

MR. WSZALEK: Yes, Michael Hardaway.

THE COURT: Okay. Do any of you think you know or recognize any of those names or people? All right. Thank you.

At the defense table -- Ms. Struckman, if you will stand, please -- is Laura Jean Marie Struckman. Do any of you think that you know Ms. Struckman?

And behind Ms. Struckman is an attorney who may at some point, if she chooses, assist her in the defense of this case,

and that's Mr. Bentley, Mr. Allen Bentley. Do any of you think 1 you might know Mr. Bentley? All right. Thank you. You may be 2 seated. 3 As I ask you questions, please raise your hand if your 4 5 answer to any of my questions would be yes. I will make a note of that fact, and then I may ask you follow-up questions at a б 7 later time. But for the time being it is generally not necessary for you to say anything in response to my questions, 8 9 other than to raise your hand. As I indicated earlier, this trial should be concluded 10 either tomorrow or Wednesday. Is there anybody who cannot serve 11 for the duration of the trial? Number 6. And number 15? Or --12 13 yes, 15. 16. Did you raise your hand, ma'am? Okay. And the other is number? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 28: 28. 15 16 THE COURT: 28. Have any of you heard, or read, or seen anything about this case? I see no response. Have any of 17 you ever had a conflict with or claim against the United States? 18 19 I see no response. Have any of you ever been employed by the United States 20 government? Number 3, number 15, number 21. 20 -- the next, 21 23, is it? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: THE COURT: And 24. And number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29:

24

```
THE COURT:
                         29?
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29:
                                        29.
2
             THE COURT: And number?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 32:
                                        32.
4
5
             THE COURT: 32. Have any of you or your immediate
    family ever been employed by the Internal Revenue Service?
6
7
    Number?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: 23.
 8
             THE COURT: 23. Have any of you ever been audited by
9
    the Internal Revenue Service and felt that you were treated
10
    unfairly during the course of that audit? Number?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 28: 28.
12
13
             THE COURT: 28. Number?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: 19.
              THE COURT: 19. I'm going to read now a list of names
15
16
    of people who may be called to testify in the course of this
17
    trial, and I'll ask you to raise your hand if you think you
18
    might know any of these people. Kimberli Reichert,
    R-e-i-c-h-e-r-t; Sharon Ashley, S-h -- A-s-h-l-e-y; Shoshanna,
19
20
    S-h-o-s-h-a-n-n-a, Szuch, S-z-u-c-h; Dale Mitchell; David
21
    Bowden, Bou -- B-o-w-d-e-n; and Michael Hardaway,
    H-a-r-d-a-w-a-y. Do any of you think you might know any of
22
23
    those people? I see no response.
24
        Are any of you now, or have you been, and the same for any
25
    members of your immediate family, have any of you ever been
```

employed by law enforcement agencies of any kind? Number 3.

That question was kind of garbled. Let me ask it again so that if you didn't understand it we make sure that we get everybody's response.

Are any of you now, or have you been, or has any member of your immediate family ever been employed by a law enforcement agency? Number 3. Anybody else? Number 1. And number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: 29.

THE COURT: 29. Have any of you had any training in the law? Are any of you relatives of immediate family members who are lawyers? Number 1, number 8, number 11, 16, and -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: 21.

THE COURT: 21. Under our system of justice there are certain principles of law that apply to cases such as this.

These include that an accused is presumed innocent until proven guilty, that guilt must be proven by the government beyond a reasonable doubt, that an accused cannot be compelled to testify, and if he or she chooses to exercise that right, you cannot allow that to affect your determination of the issues, and that you must accept the law as given to you by me. Are there any of you who cannot accept and abide by these principles? I see no response.

Do any of you know of anything whatsoever that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror in this case? Are there any of you who have something in your background that you

```
think the lawyers or the parties in this case should know about
1
2
    you in deciding whether you should be a member of this jury? I
3
    see no response.
        In this case the defendant has elected to defend herself.
4
5
    Is there any among you who will be unduly influenced by that, or
    will be unable to judge her fairly and impartially even though
6
7
    she's not represented by an attorney?
8
        Are all of you satisfied that you will be able to judge her
    fairly and impartially despite the fact that she's not
9
    represented by an attorney and that she's representing herself?
10
    I see no response.
11
        Are there any among you who believe that the United States
12
    income tax laws should not be enforced, or that they are
13
    unconstitutional? I see no response.
14
        Which of you have served on juries before? Number 2,
15
    numbers 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15.
16
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21:
18
              THE COURT:
                          21.
                               24, is it?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: (Nods head.)
19
              THE COURT: 25. And next?
20
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: 29.
              THE COURT:
                          29.
22
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: 36.
24
              THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry?
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: 36.
```

THE COURT: 36. Lest any of you think you've been 1 picked on as jurors, my wife has served on 11 juries. And in 2 fact I served for two weeks down in King County Superior Court 3 some time -- two or three weeks? 4 5 THE CLERK: Two, I think. THE COURT: I think it was two weeks. It's been some 6 7 time. I'm getting quite old, so that it's been a while, but my jury service certificate is up in the jury room. So I've been 8 there, too. 9 Let me see counsel and the defendant at sidebar. 10 (Sidebar conference as follows:) 11 THE COURT: One of the prospective jurors, Brendan 12 O'Neill, has pending a charge of graffiti, and I think it's a 13 malicious mischief in the second or third degree. I don't 14 propose to embarrass him by asking questions about it, but I 15 think you should know about it, okay? 16 17 MR. ODULIO: Thank you. (Open Court.) 18 THE COURT: Have any of you or your immediate family 19 ever been charged with a felony, other than -- and I don't mean 20 21 to include a traffic-related offense such as DUI, or the like. 22 Anything other than that that any of you or your immediate 23 family has been charged with? 24 All right. Ms. -- that's number 20. Anything else?

Mr. O'Neill, is that the matter that you disclosed on your --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR O'NEILL: (Nods head.) THE COURT: All right. Nothing else? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR O'NEILL: (Shakes head.) THE COURT: Okay. Do any of you believe that you have 4 5 ever been treated unfairly by a law enforcement officer? Okay. Do any of you have any problems with hearing, or sight, or any 6 7 other medical problem that might impair your ability to devote full attention to this trial? Number 4. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, I do wear a hearing 9 10 aid, but I seem to do well. 11 THE COURT: All right. Have you been able to hear me all right? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Now, beginning with juror number 14 15 one, I'm going to ask each of you one by one to stand up and 16 make a short speech about yourselves, and I'll give you a cheat 17 sheet so that you don't have to remember all of this. 18 State your name again, if you will, please. Just describe 19 briefly what jobs you have held since you got out of school, if you've been employed out of the home, what your family situation 20 is, that is, are you married, are there others living in your 21 22 home, do you have any children living at home, and, briefly stated, any work history of your spouse or significant other, or . 23 any adult children, what jobs have they held, just state it 24 25 briefly, and then what you do in your spare time.

## 20 Jury voir dire EXAMINATION 1 BY THE COURT: 2 My name is Margaret Frazier, and I currently work at the 3 University of Washington as a human resource administrator for 4 the College of Education. Previous to that I worked for real 5 estate companies as -- in property management, and KING 6 television as a salesperson. Do you want me to go back any further? 8 9 That's all right. I'm married, I have three stepchildren, and they are all 10 grown. And seven grandchildren. My stepson works as a -- as a 11 tech support person for a -- Pacific Coast Feather. One of my 12 13 stepdaughters is a nurse practitioner. And my other stepdaughter works for NOAA Fisheries. 14 15 Outside activities, I go hiking, I garden, and I knit. All right. You indicated somebody in your family has been 16 17 involved in law enforcement? 18 I have an uncle that was a policeman in Ohio. He has been 19 retired now for many years. All right. And somebody in your family is a lawyer? 20 21 My sister is an attorney, although she is not a practicing attorney. 22 23 Did she ever practice?

She was in private practice on her own right after she

graduated from law school for a few years, but --

24

- Where did she practice? 1 Q Out of her home. 2 Here in Seattle? 3 Yes, in Seattle. 4 And do you know what type of work she did? 5 Wills primarily. 6 7 All right. Q Some child custody work, that kind of thing. 8 9 Okay. Thank you. Okay. 10 A 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Juror number two. Gladys Fisher, and pretty much after college I worked in 14 15 Doctors Hospital that used to be here as a dietitian. So my 16 major field has been in foods and nutrition, and that part of 17 it, until I got married and had four children. And my oldest daughter is in the mission, married to a 18 preacher. And one son is in the fish business, Commercial Food 19 20 Services up in Mt. Vernon. And our other son works for ARCO 21 near Ferndale. And our youngest daughter is a post master in 22 Silvana, which is outside of Stanwood, Washington. 23 And that -- my husband is a school teacher for 33 years in the art field, and --
- Q What does he teach? 25

- 1 A Art.
- 2 O Oh, I'm sorry.
- 3 A Up at the high school, Mt. Vernon High School. And outside
- 4 | activities, I love gardening and working with food, and active
- 5 | in church activities. And my husband and I, we like to hike and
- 6 | travel and do extra things like that.
- 7 | Q Okay. You indicated you have served as a juror. On how
- 8 | many trials?
- 9 A Well, it's been -- I served at least three, I think.
- 10 | Q Okay.
- 11 A One of them was a marijuana case, and the others were
- 12 | misdemeanors, I believe it was.
- 13 | Q Okay. Was the jury able to reach a verdict in all of them?
- 14 A Yes, yes.
- 15 Q All right. Thank you.
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY THE COURT:
- 18 Q Juror number three.
- 19 A My name is Katherine Dana, or Sutherland. I just got
- 20 | married actually, so I'm having trouble with what my name is.
- 21 | I'm a business manager for a small company in Edmonds.
- 22 Q What do they do?
- 23 A As a business manager?
- 24 | Q No, what does the company do?
- 25 A Oh, it's in the oil spill response business.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A I just got married. My husband is a police officer for
- 3 | Everett, and he has been since college. Right after college I
- 4 | worked for the Department of Commerce, actually the NOAA
- 5 | Fisheries. And then my mom works for a police department as
- 6 their public information officer.
- 7 Q Which agency?
- 8 A For Lynnwood --
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A -- Police Department. Let's see. Outside activities,
- 11 reading, water-skiing, hiking.
- 12 Q Is there anything about the fact that some of your family
- 13 | members have been involved in law enforcement that you think
- 14 | would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both
- 15 | sides in this case?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q All right. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY THE COURT:
- 20 | Q Number four.
- 21 A Yeah, I'm Dan Voller. I'm a heavy equipment mechanic for 35
- 22 | years. And I have two children, 21 and 22, both going to
- 23 | school. And my wife works as a clerk for an insulation company.
- 24 And do a lot of boating, that type of thing, do a little
- 25 | traveling.

- 1 Q Okay. You indicated that you may have a health problem?
- 2 A My hearing is just -- I have a touch of hearing loss.
- 3 | Q Have you been able to hear me all right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q Okay. If you are selected, feel free to just raise your
- 6 hand if you're having any difficulty at all. We have a PA
- 7 | system that works pretty well, and we can make sure it's turned
- 8 | up high enough.
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 | Q Okay?
- 11 A I don't have any trouble.
- 12 Q All right. Thank you.
- 13 EXAMINATION
- 14 BY THE COURT:
- 15 | O Number five.
- 16 A I'm Mary Ann Reece. I'm a retired registered nurse for 30
- 17 years. Spent 15 of those as a director of nurses. My
- 18 | husband -- I am married, of course. I have two children, 35 and
- 19 36. My son is employed by the railroad. He lives in Montana.
- 20 I have three grandchildren, a brand new grandson. My
- 21 | daughter is employed in the home, and was previously employed in
- 22 | food service. And my son-in-law is employed in food services as
- 23 | well.
- 24 My husband has been a steamfitter. He was a steamfitter for
- 25 | 30 years. We are both retired now and enjoying a life of

Jury voir dire 25 leisure, if you'd call it that. My outside interests are 1 genealogy and genealogy and genealogy. 2 3 All right. How many cases have you served on? Just one, Your Honor. 4 What Court was that? 5 In King County. 6 Was it criminal or civil? 7 8 It was criminal. Α Was the jury able to reach a verdict? 9 10 Yes, we were. All right. Thank you. 11 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY THE COURT: Number six. 14 My name is Wendy Waites, and I'm currently employed with 15 16 Washington Tree Service. Since college, I have worked with Seafirst Bank for 12 years. I owned my own business, a bakery, 17 in Sequim, Washington. I'm married. 18 19 My husband is employed at Washington Tree Service also. Other than that, he was marketing tech businesses. My -- I have 20 a son 20 who is employed at Washington Tree Service. And I have 21 22

a daughter who is 18 and ready to graduate from high school.

My outside activities are trying to manage the household, and I am a caretaker for my 83-year-old mother.

23

24

25 You indicated you may have a problem regarding the duration

of the trial. 1 The company I work for is 56 years old, and we do nothing on 2 the computers. We have a 30,000 customer base. We are right in 3 the middle of implementing a computer program. 4 5 All right. Thank you. EXAMINATION 6 7 BY THE COURT: Number seven. 8 9 My name is Suzanne Taitingfong. I am married. I've worked for credit unions all my adult life. I work as a manager for 10 Boeing Employees Credit Union right now. My husband is civilian 11 12 contracted through the military. He works for the Navy exchange system. We have three children, one graduating from high 13 school, another one at the University of Washington, and a 14 15 10-year-old. And extracurricular activities are gardening, reading and 16 17 keeping up with the kids. 18 Okay. 19 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 20 21 Number 8. My name is Van Spohn. I'm a chemist doing environmental 22 I've been there for about 14 years. I'm married. I 23 24 have a wife in Covington. She works at Fred Meyer as a cashier.

Outside activities, I enjoy skiing, fishing, mountaineering,

- 1 hiking.
- 2 | Q You indicated somebody in your family is a lawyer?
- 3 A My cousin and my aunt.
- 4 Q Okay. Where do they practice?
- 5 A Houston, Texas.
- 6 Q All right. Do you know what type of work they do?
- 7 A I have no idea.
- 8 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 9 EXAMINATION
- 10 BY THE COURT:
- 11 Q Number nine.
- 12 A My name is Jeff Keever. I'm the food service director at
- 13 | Everett Community College. Before that I was food service
- 14 director in a retirement community. I have been with the same
- 15 | partner for nine years, and my partner is a doctor. And I like
- 16 | to travel and I like to hike.
- 17 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY THE COURT:
- 20 | Q Number 10.
- 21 A My name is Mona Page. I'm married, three children, nine
- 22 grandchildren. My work history, I retired from Rite Aid Drug.
- 23 | My husband is a retired heavy duty truck mechanic. Outside
- 24 activity, RV and just enjoying retirement.
- 25 | Q Okay.

## 1 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 2 Number 11. 3 I'm Elizabeth Davis, and I'm single, no children. I worked for about 14 years at Microsoft, and then about eight years I 5 did -- I owned a store. And I've sold that now. And I'm a 6 7 student at Seattle Central Community College in the boat building program. And I like boating. 8 9 Somebody you know is a lawyer? 10 Yes. Oops, my father is a lawyer in Seattle, corporate law. 11 What type of work? Q 12 Α Corporate. And do you know the name of the firm? 13 Davis Wright. 14 Α 15 I've heard of that firm. I think I know your father pretty well. And you've been a juror before? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And how many cases have you sat on? 19 A Just one. And was it criminal or civil? 20 It was criminal. 21 A 22 Was the jury able to reach a verdict? 0 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Thank you. 0 25 EXAMINATION

## 1 BY THE COURT:

- 2 Q Number 12.
- 3 A My name is Richard Phillips. I work for Honeywell Aerospace
- 4 | Electronics Systems. I'm an international sales manager. My
- 5 | wife is a housewife and occasional student. I have a
- 6 | 10-year-old son at home.
- 7 As far as my immediate family, my father was employed by the
- 8 | Veterans Administration, retired in 1972. My mother retired as
- 9 a registered nurse in 1972. And as far as outside activities,
- 10 cub scout activities with my son, and we like to travel.
- 11 | Q And how many cases have you served on as a juror?
- 12 A In 1990 in Orange County, California, I had a
- 13 | two-and-half-week obligation and there were two criminal trials
- 14 and one civil trial.
- 15 | Q Was the jury able to reach a verdict in all of them?
- 16 A Yes, on all three of them.
- 17 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY THE COURT:
- 20 | O Number 13.
- 21 | A My name is Bernice Baker. My work history, I've always
- 22 worked for Washington Mutual bank. I'm retired as of about
- 23 | three years ago. And I worked for them about 27 years all and
- 24 all.
- 25 I am married, with four grown children. My husband was a

- Jury voir dire 30 teamster, and he is also retired as of about five years ago. 1 My two sons, one is a heavy-duty truck driver for a landscaping 2 firm. Another works for a property management firm. 3 My daughters, one is a graphic artist, and the other works 4 5 as a retail salesperson for Precor exercise equipment company. My outside activities are enjoying the grandchildren, playing a 6 7 little golf and traveling. 8 And how many trials have you served on? I've been called -- this is my third one, and I actually 9 10 served on one in Bellevue. Was it criminal or civil? 11 It was a child custody, so I'm not sure. 12 13 Was the jury able to reach a verdict? 14 Α Yes. 15 All right. Thank you. 16 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 17 Number 14. 18 19 My name is Paul Grohe. I retired from Seafirst Bank in 1990. I worked there for 34 years. Relationship officer, loan 20 officer, and various other capacities. Presently I'm indulging 21 22 in metal sculpture, which has been my vocation, so to speak, for the last couple of years. 23
- My wife is retired. She taught aerobics and lessons for Weight Watchers. And she most recently was an office support

- clerk tech for a firm over -- over in Redmond. We have two 1 children. My son is in construction in Illinois. My daughter 2 teaches preschool in Bellevue. 3 Outside activities, trail running, hiking, traveling and 4 5 enjoying grandkids.
  - All right. And how many juries have you served on?
- Three, as I recall, and they were criminal cases in King 7
- County District Court. 8
- 9 And was the jury able to reach a verdict in all of them?
- Yes. 10 Α

- All right. Thank you. 11
- 12 EXAMINATION
- 13 BY THE COURT:
- 14 Number 15.
- My name is Albert Leonard. I have worked at a number of 15
- organizations doing computer programming in various roles. Far 16
- too many to list them today. I'm divorced. I have a 17
- four-year-old. My ex-wife works -- has worked for various 18
- 19 organizations doing business analyst work, which is related to
- the computer programming. 20
- 21 And outside activities, I read a lot, and play on the
- 22 computer, spend time with my daughter.
- You indicated somebody you know has been employed by the 23
- United States, yourself or a family member? 24
- 25 Yes. For five years I worked for the U.S. Army Corps of

- 1 | Engineers as a civilian computer support person.
- 2 Q All right.
- 3 A And I also did a two-and-a-half-week contract for a DOT
- 4 | agency, but I cannot be more specific about it.
- 5 Q All right. How many juries have you served on?
- 6 A One.
- 7 | Q Was it criminal or civil?
- 8 A Criminal.
- 9 Q Was the jury able to reach a verdict?
- 10 A No, the parties settled just as we were headed for the room.
- 11 | Q Okay. And you indicated you may have a problem with the
- 12 | duration of the trial?
- 13 A I only get to see my daughter once a week, and that would be
- 14 tomorrow evening.
- 15 Q What time do you get to see her?
- 16 A 3:30 to 6:30. She's in Covington.
- 17 Q Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY THE COURT:
- 20 | Q Number 16.
- 21 A My name is Teresa Ducharme. I'm working at home, mother,
- 22 working mother. And I retired from Microsoft after 10 years.
- 23 | was OEM sales director for them. I have -- I'm married. My
- 24 husband is an attorney. He represents his clients before the
- 25 | state legislature in Olympia. I have a nine-year-old-boy and a

- 1 five-year-old girl.
- 2 Q I'm sorry, does your husband work for a firm?
- 3 A No, he's an independent contractor.
- 4 | Q And what are most of the types of people he represents?
- 5 A He would mostly represent business interests. Typically
- 6 he'll have six or seven contracts during the course of a
- 7 | legislative session, typically he handles those over a course of
- 8 | years, and they will be different so that he doesn't have a
- 9 | conflict of interest.
- 10 | Q I hope you sold your Microsoft more than a couple of years
- 11 ago.
- 12 A Do I have to talk about that?
- 13 | Q You indicate you might have a problem regarding the duration
- 14 | of the trial?
- 15 A Well, I'm in a little bit of a bind because the legislature
- 16 has been unable to finish their session, and so I'm basically
- 17 | single parenting, and my son has started their baseball
- 18 | playoffs. So I can probably mush together for a day, but beyond
- 19 that it becomes difficult to manage.
- 20 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY THE COURT:
- 23 Q Number 17.
- 24 A My name is Brian Clark. I work for a local grocery company
- 25 | called QFC. I'm married. I have three children, all living at

- Jury voir dire 34 home. My oldest son who's 19 is a full-time student and also 1 2 works at a tile company in Kirkland. And outside activities include visiting with my children and hiking. 3 All right. 4 .5 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: б 7 Number 18. My name is Lee Wolf, and I am married, have three kids, 9, 7 8 and 4. I've worked for Boeing as a mechanic for 16 years. 9 I like to hunt, fish, water-ski, snowmobile, the outdoors. 10 11 All right. Thank you. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 13 14 Number 19. My name is Linda Dean. For several years I've worked for GE 15 16 Commercial Finance as a collection manager. I'm married. have three grown children, ages 32 -- actually 32 tomorrow, 30 17 and 28. My son is the oldest. He's a golf pro. My oldest 18 19 daughter works for Nike. And my youngest daughter is a cardiac 20 I'm married. My husband has been retired for many nurse. 21 years. We like to golf and fish, and that's about it. Okay. Now, you indicated that you had some concerns about 22 23 an audit in the past. Let me -- I don't want to get into the 24
  - details of that, but is it something that you think, given the nature of this trial, would make it difficult for you to be

- 1 | completely fair and impartial to both sides in this case?
- 2 A If it involved the IRS, yes, I would have a hard time.
- 3 Q Would you feel more comfortable not serving on the jury?
- 4 | A If it involves the IRS, yes, I would.
- 5 Q All right. Thank you.
- 6 EXAMINATION
- 7 BY THE COURT:
- 8 0 Number 20.
- 9 A My name is Brendan O'Neill Kohl. I'm a student at Western
- 10 Washington University, graduate in the summer. I work at a
- 11 | community bike shop. I've taught English in Mexico. I've
- 12 | worked at a veterinary clinic, construction.
- 13 | Q What do you do in your spare time?
- 14 A Travel, bike, write.
- 15 Q All right. Thank you.
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY THE COURT:
- 18 Q Number 21.
- 19 A ... My name is Dave Manzanares. I'm currently retired. I have
- 20 | two children, a daughter 34 and a son 30. I went to the
- 21 University of Washington, and after that I spent my entire
- 22 | career working for the Federal Department of Health & Human
- 23 | Services. I started out there as an auditor, and then I was in
- 24 | administration for about five years. Then I was into
- 25 | negotiating costs for the last 18 years.

- Jury voir dire 36 My daughter works for Nordstrom, and what she does is she 1 handles special customer complaints. If a customer has an 2 unusual complaint, she actually handles it in a more personal 3 manner. My son works for the Double Tree as a manager there. My wife is retired. I like sports. 5 You said that somebody in your family has been an attorney? б My brother is an attorney. 7 Where does he practice? 8 9 He's a public defender for Pierce County. Okay. Is there anything about his employment that you think 10 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial to both sides 11 in this case? 12 13 No, no. Α 14 And you have served as a juror. On how many cases? 15 Just one case. What Court was that? 16 It was in a city Court in Texas. It was a shoplifting case. 17 18 All right. Was the jury able to reach a verdict? 19 All right. Thank you. 20 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY THE COURT:
- 23 Number 22.
- 24 My name is Jody Day. I have been an industrial painter for
- 25 27 years. My wife works for Better Business Bureau. I have

three kids, 26, 21, and 18. I just enjoy sports, outdoors, 1 2 everything else. Okay. Thank you. 3 EXAMINATION 4 5 BY THE COURT: Number 23. 6 7 My name is Karl Bargmeyer, and my wife and I have been married 43 years. We have two daughters in their early 40s. spent four years in the Navy, eight years at Boeing, 20 years 9 with IBM, and 10 years with the Internal Revenue Service. 10 My daughters -- my wife has been employed in various 11 12 administrative functions with local companies, and she's now 13 retired. My oldest daughter is an administrator with Alaska Airlines. The youngest is a dental assistant. Both have been 14 working in their jobs more than 20 years. 15 16 We golf, we travel, we camp with a travel trailer, and we 17 fish. Okay. What years did you work for the IRS? 18 From 1990 to 2000. 19 Okay. Did you retire from the IRS? 20 21 I retired from IBM when IBM pulled out of Seattle, and went to work for the IRS, and after 10 and a half years retired from 23 the IRS. Okay. Would you be uncomfortable serving on this jury with 24 the implications involving the IRS and taxes? 25

- I don't think so. I worked for five years on the telephones 1 answering tax questions, and then the last five years I worked 2 as a writer editor, I wrote newsletters for IRS employees and 3 tax practitioners in a four state area.
  - Let me ask the question a little differently: If a member of your family were unjustly accused of a crime that involved the IRS, would you be satisfied to have 12 people with your background and experience serve as the jurors in that case?
- 9 Α Completely.

5

6

7

8

All right. Thank you. 10

## EXAMINATION 11

- 12 BY THE COURT:
- 13 Number 24.
- Noel Walther. I worked for the Seattle schools for 35 years 14 as a teacher and counselor. Retired. Then I worked, I think it 15 was the -- kind of like in the federal, but we did testing for 16 the Armed Services, the Office of Personnel Management. And we 17 did those going into the Service, as well as traveling to many 18 19 high schools and giving the Armed Services test as a vocational
- 21 Family, three sons, and they're all grown.
- 22 Why don't you wait just a moment until --
- 23 A Yes.

tool.

- -- the sirens get on by. 24 Q
- Oh, all right. 25 Α

- Q All right. Go ahead.
- 2 A That's all right. My sons are scattered, and they do
- 3 | different things. And outside activities, I enjoy going out in
- 4 | our boat. I just came back last night. Play a little golf. My
- 5 | wife and I like to travel. So we try to use our retirement
- 6 | years as well as we can, enjoy some of the things that we
- 7 | couldn't do earlier.
- 8 Q What did you teach in high school?
- 9 A Oh, I taught mathematics for the Seattle schools, and then I
- 10 | was a counselor for the Seattle schools.
- 11 | Q Okay. How many trials have you sat on as a juror?
- 12 A Two up in Everett.
- 13 | Q What Court?
- 14 A They were both criminal cases in Everett.
- 15 | Q Okay. And was the jury able to reach a verdict in both of
- 16 them?
- 17 A Yes, it did.
- 18 | Q All right. Thank you very much.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 BY THE COURT:
- 22 | Q Number 25.
- 23 A I'm Patricia Hutton. For 26 years I was an office manager
- 24 | for a disability insurance company. Now I pack up household
- 25 | furniture for my partner of 12 years. We have no children. We

- 1 have two dogs. I like gardening and traveling.
- 2 Q All right. How many cases have you sat on?
- 3 A Three, two in Oregon and one here.
- 4 | Q Okay. Were they all criminal, civil, or some of both?
- 5 A I do not recall the Oregon ones. The one here was civil.
- 6 Q Was the jury able to reach a verdict in all of them?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 9 EXAMINATION
- 10 BY THE COURT:
- 11 Q Number 26.
- 12 A My name is Michael Lohss. I work -- I'm a software
- 13 development manager for Adaptoz. I've been in software since I
- 14 got out of college. I am married, with a 21-month-old. My wife
- 15 is a pension fund administrator. And for outside activity, I
- 16 like woodworking, camping, working on my car.
- 17 | Q Okay. And how many juries have you sat on?
- 18 A Zero.
- 19 | Q Oh, I'm sorry. You're number 26.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 | BY THE COURT:
- 22 | Q Number 27.
- 23 A My name is Ray Knutsen. Work history, I have been a diesel
- 24 | mechanic for about 13 years. I work for a container outfit on
- 25 | the Seattle waterfront right now. I have three children under

Jury voir dire 41 the age of 6. 6, 4 and 2. My wife is a manager/bartender. 1 2 Outside activities, basically just taking care of our two-and-a-half-acre property. 3 Okay. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY THE COURT: 6 Number 28. 7 My name is Maurice Fox. I'm a semi-retired entrepreneur. 8 I've done a lot of different things. One I should mention is I 9 served on the board of a publicly traded bank for five years. 10 11 My wife and I have been married for 39 years. We have two sons that work for a company we founded and sold in '95. They both 12 have children. We have five grandchildren. 13 My wife and I spend most of our time boating in the Eastern 14 15 Caribbean and Eastern seaboard, and we come here in what we call the wintertime, which is now. And that's about it. 16 You indicate you may have a problem regarding the duration 17 of the trial? 18 I sustained an injury to my leg and an infection. 19 having trouble getting that under control. It's flared up 20 21 again, and I may have to seek medical care. 22 Okay. And you also indicated that you had had an audit 23 situation. Is that something that you feel would affect your

ability to be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?

We've been audited four times. The first time they gave all

24

1 | the money back. So I guess -- I guess not.

- 2 | Q All right. You don't have any concerns about your ability
- 3 to be fair and impartial to both sides?
- 4 | A No, not necessarily. I just wanted to point out that I did
- 5 | have one uncomfortable experience with the IRS.
- 6 Q Okay. Thank you.

## EXAMINATION

8 BY THE COURT:

- 9 Q Number 29.
- 10 A My name is Norm Douglas. I currently work for Weyerhaeuser
- 11 | Company, pulp paper, packaging and wood products. Married. Two
- 12 children, grown, married and gone from the home. I enjoy
- 13 outdoor activities, golfing and skiing, and have participated in
- 14 those activities with my family over the years.
- 15 | Q What was the employment by the United States?
- 16 A After high school and through college and after -- my first
- 17 | employment after college I worked for the United States Forest
- 18 | Service during summers for about eight years.
- 19 Q Okay. And somebody in your family has been involved in law
- 20 enforcement?
- 21 A My brother was a state patrol officer, Washington State
- 22 Patrol, some years -- many years ago.
- 23 Q Okay. And how many trials have you sat on as a juror?
- 24 | A I was an alternate on one that I recall.
- 25 Q Was it criminal or civil?

- A That was a civil case.
- 2 | Q In what Court?

- 3 A It was in the Federal Way District Court.
- 4 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY THE COURT:
- 7 Q Number 30.
- 8 | A Hello. My name is Mike Boucher. I drive truck for a
- 9 | living, for the last 15 years. I've got an eight-year-old
- 10 daughter. Just recently married. My wife is a stay-home mom,
- 11 | unemployed from Boeings. We like to hike and fish and camp.
- 12 Q All right. Thank you.
- 13 EXAMINATION
- 14 BY THE COURT:
- 15 Q Number 31.
- 16 A My name is Jacque Bates, and I'm a CPA. I practice in the
- 17 | tax area and have a Master's in tax, actually. I have no
- 18 children. I'm single. And I enjoy any outdoor activity.
- 19 Q Is there anything about the nature of your employment that
- 20 you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial to
- 21 both sides in this case?
- 22 A That's difficult to say without knowing more information,
- 23 but I think there is a possibility that I could be not
- 24 | impartial.
- 25 Q Okay. Thank you.

## EXAMINATION 1 BY THE COURT: 2 3 I'm Lauri LeClech. I currently work for Benefit Port 4 Northwest, which is an insurance broker and administrator. Ι work in the accounting department doing broker commissions and 5 accounts payable. I'm a divorced mother of a -- well, divorced 6 single parent of a 17-year-old daughter. I enjoy reading, 7 8 exercising, and shopping. Somebody in your family was employed by the United States? 9 Well, I did a short period there, probably about six months, 10 11 as a clerk typist for the federal government. What agency? 12 Youth and Social Service. 13 All right. Thank you. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY THE COURT: 16 17 Number 33. My name is Allen Schultz. I'm an unemployed network 18 operations analyst formerly with AT&T Wireless. I'm married for 19 20 two years, no children. Before I was a network analyst I had a 10-year career as a graphic artist, CAD designer. My wife is an 21 unemployed staff trainer from a recruiting firm. 22 23 Outside activities are skiing and bicycle riding, and I have 24 a hobby as a gas welder. I'm sorry? 25

```
1
    Α
        Gas welding.
2
        Oh.
             Thanks.
                            EXAMINATION
3
4
    BY THE COURT:
5
        34.
        My name is Kevin Kerkof. I'm employed at Washington
6
 7
    Technology Center for the past six years. I am single. No
 8
    children. I enjoy playing soccer, bicycling and outdoor
    activities, hiking.
 9
    Q All right.
10
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
    BY THE COURT:
12
13
        35.
14
        My name is Yousef Arefi-Afshar. I just graduated from
15
    Colorado College last Monday, so the work history is limited. I
    own a clothing company based in Mountlake Terrace. My folks --
16
17
    my mother runs a small clothing company, or a small retail
18
    clothing store. My father is technical support for Boeing.
        And outside activities include looking for grad schools.
19
20
    Q
        Okay. What are you looking for in a grad school?
        It's a good question.
21
    Α
22
        I mean, what area?
        Like a Master's Degree in either business or law.
23
    Α
24
         Okay.
25
                            EXAMINATION
```

BY THE COURT: 1 Number 36. 2 My name is Bruce Davie. I drive truck. After high school, 3 farming and stuff. I went cross-country, narrowed it down to 4 running the I-5 corridor. Now I'm running just locally. My 5 6 wife is a bridal consultant or retail associate down here in one 7 of the malls down here. We don't have no kids. Basically outdoor sports is biking, fishing, and that's 8 9 about it. All right. How many cases have you sat on as a juror? 10 And I sat on one, it's criminal, and we reached a verdict. 11 12 All right. Thank you. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY THE COURT: 15 And number 37. My name is Brian Kerntke. I work at a trucking company as a 16 mechanic, and I'm married and have three kids. And my outside 17 activities would be camping and riding four-wheelers, and stuff 18 19 like that. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let me see counsel 20 21 and -- the parties at sidebar, please. (Sidebar conference as follows:) 22 THE COURT: Will there be any objection to excusing 23 those jurors who have a problem regarding duration of the trial? 24

THE DEFENDANT: No.

```
1
             MR. ODULIO: No, Judge.
             THE COURT: So that means we are excusing juror number
2
    6, 15, 16, 28. Then on juror number 19, who said she did not
3
4
    feel she could be fair, is there any objection to excusing her?
             MR. MAIETTA: No.
 5
             MR. ODULIO: No.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Ms. Struckman?
             THE DEFENDANT: I don't know how to answer that.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. I'm going to excuse number 19.
 9
10
    And number 31 had the same problem regarding the IRS and tax
11
    implications and the like. Is there any objection to her being
12
    excused?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Same thing.
14
             MR. ODULIO: No.
             THE DEFENDANT: I don't know how to respond to that.
15
             THE COURT: All right. I'm going to excuse number 31.
16
    Any other challenges for cause?
17
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, earlier you informed us that
18
19
    juror number 20 is presently charged with some criminal
20
    violations.
21
             THE COURT: Yes.
22
             MR. ODULIO: So given that circumstance, the government
23
    would ask for his excuse.
24
             THE COURT: Is there any objection to that?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: I don't -- I don't know how to respond
```

```
to that.
1
             THE COURT: I'm going to excuse number 20. Any others?
2
             MR. ODULIO: Nothing further.
3
             THE COURT: Then if you will write down -- first of
4
    all, do you have any additional questions you want to ask? Ms.
5
    Struckman, you have to respond verbally.
6
             THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, I don't have any questions.
 7
             MR. ODULIO: No questions.
8
             THE COURT: All right. Then write down your peremptory
9
    challenges.
10
       (Open court.)
11
             THE COURT: If you folks would like to stand and
12
    stretch. We're almost finished. But I have to ask you not to
13
14
    speak, because everything that is said has to be taken down.
15
       (Brief Pause.)
             THE COURT: Mr. Bentley, if you want to pull one of
16
    those chairs back there and sit on them, that's fine. Those are
17
18
    worse than church pews.
             MR. BENTLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
        (Brief Pause.)
20
21
             THE COURT: Gentlemen, wrap it up.
        (Brief Pause.)
22
             THE COURT: Juror number 1, I'm going to ask you to
23
24
    step down and have a seat back on the benches, and I'll ask
25
    juror number 13 to move into that seat. Jurors 2, 3, 4 and 5
```

```
will remain seated. Juror number 6 will step down, and juror
1
    number 14 will move into that seat. 7 will remain seated.
2
    Number 8 will step down, and juror number 17 will move into that
3
           Jurors 9, 10, 11 and 12 will remain seated. If you folks
4
    on the back will move down two chairs, please.
5
        Does the government agree that the Court has correctly
6
    recognized the challenges?
7
             MR. ODULIO: It does.
8
9
             THE COURT: Does the defendant agree that the Court has
    correctly recognized the challenges, Ms. Struckman?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: As far as I can tell.
11
12
             THE COURT: All right. Does the government accept the
    jury as presently constituted?
13
14
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, it does.
15
             THE COURT: Does the defendant accept the jury as
16
    presently constituted, Ms. Struckman?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, if you'll
    stand and raise your right hand to be sworn.
19
20
         (Jurors sworn.)
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated.
21
    Ladies and gentlemen, those of you who were not selected to
22
    serve on this jury will be excused in just a moment to report to
23
    the jury clerk, and that will constitute your service for this
24
25
    term of federal court.
```

Thank you very much for your willingness to appear here this morning. It's very important to our system of justice that people like yourselves are willing to serve, and we appreciate it deeply. Thank you again. You're excused.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, you are now the jury in this case. And I want to take a few minutes to tell you something about your duties as jurors and to give you some instructions. It will be your duty to decide from the evidence what the facts are. You will hear the evidence, decide what the facts are, and then apply those facts to the law which I will give to you. In doing so, you must follow the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not.

The evidence will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits, and any facts on which the lawyers agree, or which I may instruct you to accept.

When I said the lawyers, I mean the lawyers and the defendant. You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what your verdict should be.

This is a criminal case brought by the United States government. The charges against the defendant are contained in an indictment. An indictment is simply the government's description of the charges and is not evidence of anything.

The following things are also not evidence, and you must not

consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of this case:

Statements and arguments of attorneys, questions and objections that are made to evidence as it comes in, testimony that I instruct you to disregard, and anything you may have seen or heard when the Court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

Some evidence may be admitted for a limited purpose only.

When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose, you must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, it is proof of one or more facts from which can find another fact. You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

There are rules of evidence which can control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer or a party asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and somebody on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that person may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I

sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered or the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer would have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case you must not consider the evidence which I told you to disregard.

In deciding the facts of this case, you may have to decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe, or how much of any witness' testimony to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors, including the witness' ability to see or hear or know the things the witness testified to, the quality of the witness' memory, the witness' manner while testifying, whether the witness had any interest in the outcome of the case, or any motive, bias or prejudice, whether the witness has contradicted -- was contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before trial, or by other evidence, and how reasonable was the witness' testimony when considered in the light of other evidence which you believe.

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors. First, do not talk to each other about this case, or about

anyone who has anything to do with it, until the end of the case, when you go to the jury room to decide your verdict.

Second, do not talk with anyone else about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it, until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror, but do not tell them anything about the case until after you have been discharged by me.

Third, do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If anyone should attempt to talk to you about the case, immediately identify yourself as a juror and tell them to stop. If they do not stop, bring it to my attention promptly, and I will see to it that they do.

Fourth, don't read any news stories or articles, or listen to any radio or television reports about the case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Fifth, do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries or other reference materials, and do not make any investigation about the case on your own. For example, you should not go to any location about which there may be testimony, or perform any other investigation, or do any research of any kind.

Sixth, if you need to communicate with me simply give a signed note to the clerk to give to me. And lastly, do not make up your mind about what your verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case, and you and

your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. Keep an open mind until then.

At the end of the trial you will have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult, and it is difficult and time consuming for the reporter to read back lengthy testimony. I urge you, therefore, to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room. If you do not take notes, you should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

The trial will begin shortly. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement.

The government will then present its evidence and the defendant may cross-examine those witnesses. Following the government's case, the defendant, if she chooses, may present evidence, and the government's counsel may cross-examine.

After all the evidence has been presented, the attorneys will make their closing -- the attorneys and Ms. Stockman will

make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the 1 evidence for you, and I will instruct you on the law. I said 2 Stockman, I meant Struckman. Pardon me. After that you will go 3 to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict. 4 We'll take a 15-minute recess at this time. The clerk will 5 show you up to the jury room and get you settled in. 6 7 start up again at 10 minutes after. We'll be in recess. (Recess.) 8 THE COURT: All right. The government's opening 9 10 statement. MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, Chris Maietta on behalf of 11 12 the United States. One housekeeping matter, Your Honor, with regard to any witnesses that may be in the courtroom. We would 13 ask you to make an inquiry if any witnesses are in the 14 15 courtroom. THE COURT: If there are any persons in the courtroom 16 who are expected to testify, I have to ask you to wait outside. 17 18 I see no response. MR. MAIETTA: Thank you, Your Honor. Ms. Struckman, 19 counsel, ladies and gentlemen. Good morning. The defendant in 20 21 this case, and her husband, David Struckman, deposited 3.7 million dollars into three bank accounts between the dates of 22 June 1997 and August 1998, 3.7 million dollars. 23 24 And during the same time period the defendant and her

husband withdrew more than \$900,000 in cash from three bank

accounts. In fact, they made 122 cash withdrawals from three
bank accounts. All of those withdrawals for cash were under
\$10,000.

And these three bank accounts were under the names Crescent
Moon Enterprises, Spectack-Ular Holdings and Alternate Ventures.

Moon Enterprises, Spectack-Ular Holdings and Alternate Ventures.

And the defendant and her husband opened these three bank

accounts. And they opened these three bank accounts at a branch
of the U.S. Bank of Washington.

And when they opened these three accounts, they went to the bank, they filled out paperwork, and one particular form that they filled out, and they submitted to the bank, was a W-8 form. And this W-8 form is also known as a certificate of foreign status.

It's a form that simply tells the bank that the account holder is a foreign entity, and it also allows the bank account holder to not provide a Social Security number or a taxpayer identification number, a TIN.

And in this case, the defendant and her husband opened the three accounts and submitted W-8 forms to the bank. And it was from these three accounts that the defendant and her husband made 122 cash withdrawals. And every single one of those cash withdrawals were for an amount below \$10,000.

Now, to withdraw the money the defendant and her husband, David Struckman, went to one of the branches of U.S. Bank of Washington. They went to the Spring Glen branch in Renton,

1 Washington, to withdraw the money.

And the bank employees at Spring Glen got to know the defendant and her husband quite well. They were frequent visitors to the bank. And one particular employee, Sharon Ashley, worked at the bank. She was a merchant teller at the bank.

And some of her duties included working with business customers, their business accounts. She had access to the vault. She handled high dollar transactions. And she got to know the defendant and her husband very well. They were frequent visitors to the bank.

And on one occasion the defendant came into the bank, presented a check to Sharon Ashley for an amount -- for an amount of money over \$10,000. Now, she presented the check to Sharon. Sharon then picked up a form. The form is called a currency transaction report.

And a currency transaction report is a form that has to be filed by a bank employee whenever a customer comes into the bank and wishes to either cash or withdraw cash for more than \$10,000, or if the customer wishes to deposit cash for more than \$10,000. So in either case, you withdraw cash for more than \$10,000, the form gets filled out; you deposit cash for more than \$10,000, the form gets filled out.

And in this case the defendant wanted to withdraw more than \$10,000 in cash. So Sharon Ashley began to fill out the form.

The defendant then stopped. She said, "Sharon, what are you doing?" And Ms. Ashley said, "Well, based on the amount of the transaction, I have to fill this form out, and this form then gets submitted to the government."

At that point the defendant stopped, she hesitated, she paused. She then asked Sharon if she wrote out a check for an amount under \$10,000 whether that form would have to be filed, and Sharon said no, the form would not have to be filed. So the defendant then wrote a check out for an amount under \$10,000, and Sharon put the form away.

And just to give you an idea of the frequency that the defendant and her husband would go to the bank and make these cash withdrawals, I'll give you some examples. June 3rd, 1997, a Tuesday, the defendant walks into the bank. The check is written payable to cash for \$9,000. She hands the check to the teller. The teller then gives the defendant \$9,000 in cash. The defendant walks out the door with \$9,000 in cash.

The next day, a Wednesday, the defendant again is at the bank. A check is made payable to cash. She gives it to the teller. The teller gives her \$9,000 in cash. The defendant walks out the door. This happens again on the following day, and on the following day.

And another thing the defendant would do, and her husband, when they went to the bank, is that they would request the withdrawals of cash be in denominations of \$100, \$100

denominations.

So if she was -- the defendant was withdrawing \$9,000 in cash, she would get 90 one hundred dollar bills. And the bank tellers -- initially the bank tellers would count out 90 one hundred dollar bills, 1, 2, 3. That took a while.

But the defendant and her husband were frequently in the bank, and so they didn't bother counting out the cash, one hundred dollar bills, to them anymore. They just gave it to the defendant, and they walked out. 122 cash withdrawals. And every single one of them was for under \$10,000. Not one was for more than \$10,000.

So where was this money coming from? Well, that's a question that Sharon Ashley and many of the bank tellers had themselves. They wanted to know where this money was coming from. They were just curious. As bank employees, they see a lot of customers coming through.

And on one occasion the defendant came in to deposit business checks, and the bank teller asked the defendant what she did for a living. And the defendant said that her and her husband were in a business where they conducted seminars, and it showed people how to start their own business.

Well, now, the bank teller knew. And Sharon Ashley was also working that day, and she heard the defendant tell this story about this business. And the business the defendant was talking about is called Global Prosperity.

And Global Prosperity sold certain products. And one of the products that they sold were audio cassette tapes. And on these audio cassette tapes were recordings. And they were recordings where the speakers spoke about viewpoints, opinions about taxes and government. And these tapes were sold by Global Prosperity for \$1,250 apiece, for a package, a set of 12 cassettes.

And Global Prosperity also sold tickets to offshore seminars. Now, these offshore seminars were held outside the country in places like Cancun, Mexico, Aruba, and the Bahamas. And at these seminars speakers would talk about tax opinions and opinions about the government. And a ticket to actually go to one of these seminars cost \$6,250. Global Prosperity was a very lucrative business. It made a lot of money.

And one of the philosophies that was advocated at these seminars that are on the tapes was this concept of sovereignty. And sovereignty was simply a concept that people were free to do what they wanted. The government wasn't under their -- they were not under the government's jurisdiction. They didn't have -- the government did not have control over them.

And the defendant and her husband purported or claimed that they were sovereign, they were free, the government didn't have any authority over them. And they actually submitted affidavits, both her husband and the defendant submitted separate affidavits to the King County clerk's office. And in these affidavits they declared that the federal, state, and

1 local governments had no authority over them, including the IRS, 2 the Social Security Administration, the Department of Tax Revenue. 3 And part of this concept of sovereignty was this idea that 4 you should pay for things in cash. If you go out and buy a car, 5 you pay in cash. If you go get your haircut, you pay in cash. 6 7 Any type of services, you pay in cash. Well, the defendant and her husband, David Struckman, they 8 paid in cash for a lot of things. And one person that saw 9 10 firsthand how they spent their money was a person by the name of David Bowden. 11 Now, Mr. Bowden owned a car detailing shop. And David 12 Struckman would bring their cars into Mr. Bowden's detailing 13 14 shop to have them cleaned, waxed, repaired. He would just do --Mr. Bowden would do anything. 15 16 And on one particular day Dave Struckman was at Mr. Bowden's business, and he saw Mr. Bowden's 1932 Plymouth sedan. It was 17 owned by Mr. Bowden. He put a lot of time and effort in 18 repairing this 1932 Plymouth sedan, about \$25,000 worth of 19 20 repairs. And Dave Struckman wanted that car. And he told Mr. Bowden, even though it wasn't for sale, he told Mr. Bowden, "I 21 22 want it. Name your price. I'll buy it." 23 Mr. Bowden thought about it. He'd spent about \$25,000 in 24 repairing the car. And he said, "Dave Struckman, \$28,000 and

it's yours." David Struckman said, "Sold. I'll be right back."

A short time later Dave Struckman came back to Mr. Bowden's business with a brown paper bag. Inside the brown paper bag, \$28,000 in cash in \$100 denominations.

Where did this \$28,000 come from? He didn't make a cash withdrawal of \$28,000. The defendant and his wife -- or the defendant and Dave Struckman were making cash withdrawals for under \$10,000. A \$9,000 cash withdrawal here, a \$9,500 cash there, another 9,000 cash withdrawal. A few cash withdrawals and you get to that \$28,000.

About three weeks -- about three weeks later Dave Struckman was back at Mr. Bowden's business. This time Dave Struckman saw a boat. And that boat did not belong to Mr. Bowden. He was working on it, doing some detailing. But Dave Struckman wanted it. Dave Struckman got the owner -- the owner's name, spoke to the owner, bought the boat for more than \$25,000. Dave Struckman paid cash, more than \$25,000 in cash. Just another example of what they did with the money.

Mr. Bowden and his wife actually were taken out to dinner by the defendant and Dave Struckman one night. They went to the Metropolitan Grill here in downtown Seattle. The four of them had dinner. They had drinks. They had dessert. The total bill, \$1,300. Who paid for it? The defendant and Dave Struckman, and they paid for it in cash.

Well, where else was this money going? I mean, they were making all these cash withdrawals, so where else was this

money -- I mean, you take out \$9,000 here and \$9,000 there, and you go to the bank about two or three times a week. This was a lot of cash.

They were also depositing the money in a safe deposit box company in Bellevue, Washington. And Mr. Bowden learned about this. Mr. Bowden was over at Dave Struckman and the defendant's house a lot, and he learned that the defendant would frequently go up to this safe deposit box company in Bellevue, Washington, over in Bellevue, and deposit cash. And this was a private -- privately owned safe deposit box company. It wasn't affiliated with the government in any way.

Now, the defendant in this case is charged with conspiracy to structure a currency transaction. And that simply means that the defendant and her husband agreed to structure currency transactions for the purpose of evading the reporting requirements. And they did this -- they did this by making cash withdrawals, 122 cash withdrawals, and every single one of them was for under \$10,000. And this happened between June 1997 and August 1998.

And, ladies and gentlemen, at the end of this case, after you've heard all the evidence, we are confident -- we are confident that the evidence will show that the defendant is guilty of conspiracy to structure a currency transaction, and we will ask that you find the defendant guilty.

Thank you.

```
THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to make an
1
2
    opening statement?
3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: All right. You might pull that microphone
 4
    down and speak right into it.
5
             THE DEFENDANT: I want to make a statement for the
 6
 7
    record. I do not want to defend myself in this action. I want
    counsel of choice. Mr. Allen Bentley is not my counsel of
 8
    choice. I will not be participating --
 9
10
             MR MAIETTA: Objection, Your Honor.
             THE DEFENDANT: -- in these proceedings without counsel
11
    of choice. Thank you.
12
13
             THE COURT: All right. Call your first witness.
             MR. ODULIO: The government calls Kimberli Reichert.
14
    KIMBERLI REICHERT,
                                BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
15
             THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
16
    name and spell your last name.
17
             THE WITNESS: Kimberli Reichert, R-e-i-c-h-e-r-t.
18
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
    BY MR. ODULIO:
20
        Ms. Reichert, where are you employed?
21
        U.S. Bank.
22
        And what is your position there?
23
        I'm a senior fraud investigator.
24
    Α
25
        How long have you been employed by U.S. Bank?
```

- 1 A Approximately 25 years.
- 2 | Q And have you held any other positions with U.S. Bank?
- 3 A Yes. I've been a fraud investigator for the past three
- 4 | years. Prior to that I was a branch manager for 10 years, and
- 5 | prior to that an operations manager for 10 years.
- 6 | Q And your business location, is it here in Seattle?
- 7 A Yes, it is.
- 8 | Q Just give us the duties of your position.
- 9 A My current position?
- 10 Q Yes, ma'am?
- 11 | A I investigate internal and external fraud against the bank,
- 12 | and I respond to bank robberies.
- 13 | Q And as a branch manager what were your duties?
- 14 A As a branch manager I oversaw the sales of an office and the
- 15 operations manager reported to me.
- 16 MR. ODULIO: May the witness be given Government's
- 17 | Exhibits 1, 2 and 3?
- 18 | Q Government's Exhibit 1, ma'am, do you recognize that
- 19 document?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q What is it?
- 22 A This is a business signature card.
- 23 Q And is that a record kept by U.S. Bank?
- 24 A Yes, it is.
- 25 | Q Government's Exhibit 2, please.

```
Okay. This is also a business signature card.
1
    Α
        And, again, is that related to U.S. Bank?
2
3
        Yes, it is.
    Α
        Government's Exhibit 3, please.
 4
 5
        Also a business signature card.
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, we have voluminous records
 б
    here, Exhibits 4, 5 and 6. May the witness briefly review them?
 7
             THE COURT: Yes.
 8
        (By Mr. Odulio) Ma'am, if you could review Government's
 9
    Exhibits 4, 5 and 6 located at this table.
10
             THE COURT: You folks can stand up and stretch, if
11
12
    you'd like.
         (Brief pause.)
13
14
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, may I assist the witness?
             THE COURT: Yes.
15
         (Brief pause.)
16
        Okay.
17
    Α
        (By Mr. Odulio) You may return. Thank you. Ms. Reichert,
18
    what are those documents?
19
20
        They are business statements from U.S. Bank and all of the
21
    corresponding deposits, checks written and copies of the items
22
    within the deposit.
        And are those records of U.S. Bank?
23
        Yes, they are.
24
25
             MR. ODULIO: If the witness could be handed
```

- 1 | Government's Exhibit 7, 8 and 9.
- 2 Q Government's 7, Ms. Reichert.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 | Q Do you recognize those records?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q And what are they?
- 7 A These are checks that were drawn on an account at U.S. Bank.
- 8 They are copies of the cleared items.
- 9 | Q And is that -- Government's Exhibit 7, can that be found in
- 10 | Government's Exhibit 4? Is that a subset of Government's
- 11 Exhibit 4?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Government's Exhibit 8, Ms. Reichert. Do you recognize
- 14 | those documents?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q And are they documents of U.S. Bank?
- 17 A Yes, they are.
- 18 | O And are those documents a subset of Government's Exhibit 5?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And, Ms. Reichert, Government's Exhibit 9. Do you recognize
- 21 | those documents?
- 22 A Yes, I do.
- 23 Q What are they?
- 24 A They are also checks drawn on U.S. Bank.
- 25 | Q And is that a subset of Government's Exhibit 6?

- 1 A Yes, it is.
- 2 Q Ms. Reichert, is it the regular practice of U.S. Bank to
- 3 | make such records?
- 4 A Yes, it is.
- 5 Q And were these records kept in the course of a regularly
- 6 | conducted business activity?
- 7 A Yes, they were.
- MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, at this time the government
- 9 moves 1 through 9.
- 10 THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. Struckman? Hearing no
- 11 | objection, they'll be admitted.
- 12 (Plaintiff's Exs. Nos. 1 through 9 admitted.)
- 13 Q (By Mr. Odulio) Ms. Reichert, if you could flip to
- 14 Government Exhibit 1, please.
- 15 A Okay.
- 16 Q Is there an account -- you testified that this was a
- 17 | signature card?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Is there an account that is identified on the signature
- 20 card?
- 21 A Yes. This is a signature card for Crescent Moon
- 22 | Enterprises.
- 23 | Q And does it indicate when this account was opened, ma'am?
- 24 | A March 27th, 1996.
- 25 Q And does it indicate who opened the account?

- 1 A The account was opened, and while under Crescent Moon, the 2 signers were David A. Struckman and Laura J. Struckman.
- 3 Q And do you know what type of account this is, Ms. Reichert,
- 4 | whether it's an interest bearing or non-interest bearing?
- 5 A I need to look at the back. This account was opened as a
- 6 | non-profit, and from the statement I can't -- or from the
- 7 | signature card I can't tell if it is.
- 8 Q Would it refresh your recollection if you looked at a bank
- 9 | statement?
- 10 A I did look at one when I went through. It is not an
- 11 | interesting bearing account.
- 12 | Q What significance does that fact have, that it's a
- 13 | non-interest bearing account, what significance is that with
- 14 | respect to the bank's reporting requirement to the Internal
- 15 | Revenue Service?
- 16 A If an account is interest bearing, we're required to report
- 17 | that interest in to the IRS.
- 18 Q And the fact that it's a non-profit, of what significance is
- 19 that with respect to the bank's treatment of this account?
- 20 A To the bank, actually non-profit, the only difference to us
- 21 is the structure of our service charge on the account.
- 22 | Q Could you explain that, please?
- 23 | A Traditionally we charge a non-profit organization a lower
- 24 service charge than a regular business account.
- 25 | Q And how do you determine whether or not it's a non-profit?

- 1 A Based on the customer. We ask them that question.
- 2 Q Looking at Government's Exhibit 1, ma'am. In the box
- 3 | labeled taxpayer I.D. number, it says W-815. Do you see that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Can you explain that?
- 6 A What this means is that at the time the account was opened
- 7 | there was not a tax I.D. number provided, and the bank was told
- 8 | that it was a foreign status account.
- 9 Q And can you tell by the account number at which branch this
- 10 | account was opened?
- 11 | A The account opened in the Lynden office.
- 12 Q And do you know where that is located?
- 13 A As close as I know is it's up by Bellingham.
- 14 | Q And are W-8 accounts common up in that area of the state?
- 15 A Yes, they are.
- 16 Q Why is that?
- 17 A Because it's close to the Canadian border. We have a lot of
- 18 | Canadian citizens that bank with us.
- 19 Q Ms. Reichert, what is needed to establish -- what does a
- 20 customer need to establish that they are a foreign entity? What
- 21 | did they have to bring to the bank at this time in 1996?
- 22 A They did not have to provide us with anything. We -- when
- 23 they told us they were foreign status, we gave them a W-8 form,
- 24 and they completed that. That's a government form.
- 25 | Q And would a customer opening a W-8 account be required to

- 1 | provide your institution with a Social Security number or a
- 2 taxpayer I.D.?
- 3 A If they had one, yes.
- 4 | Q And if they don't have one, would they be required?
- 5 A You can't provide it if you don't have it.
- 6 Q And there's a box on Government's 1 regarding subject to
- 7 | backup withholding. Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 | Q Would you explain that, please?
- 10 A There are businesses that are -- they have tax I.D. numbers
- 11 | within the United States. If they've underreported on their
- 12 | taxes in the past, they would be subject to backup withholding,
- 13 and that would mean that the bank would withhold some of the
- 14 interest that was earned and we'd pay it directly to the
- 15 | government.
- 16 | Q If you could turn to Government's Exhibit 2, please. Does
- 17 | that identify -- I'm sorry, are you there?
- 18 A Yeah, I've got it.
- 19 Q Does that identify what account this is?
- 20 A Yes, this account is titled Alternate Ventures. And the
- 21 signers on the account are David Struckman and Laura Struckman.
- 22 | Q And could you tell when this account was opened, Ms.
- 23 | Reichert?
- 24 | A July 22nd, 1996.
- 25 Q And can you determine at which branch this account was

- 1 opened?
- 2 A This was also opened at Lynden.
- 3 Q And how do you know that?
- 4 A I can tell by the account number. At the time these
- 5 | accounts were opened, the first three digits of the account
- 6 | number identified the physical location where it opened.
- 7 Q And the same question, does it indicate what type of account
- 8 | this is, if it's an interesting bearing or non-interest bearing?
- 9 A It is listed as a non-profit on the statement -- or on the
- 10 | signature card, I'm sorry.
- 11 Q And based on your review of the documents, do you know
- 12 | whether this is interest bearing or non-interest bearing?
- 13 A It is not interest bearing.
- 14 | Q And there's an area on this form regarding taxpayer I.D.
- 15 number. Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 | Q Could you explain that, please?
- 18 A Again it says W-8 on file, which means no tax I.D. number
- 19 | was provided to the bank. A W-8 was needed instead.
- 20 | Q Does U.S. Bank treat W-8 accounts different from other
- 21 accounts?
- 22 A As far as our processing on the bank's end, no.
- 23 Q As far as the bank maintenance of the account and the way
- 24 | they would service the account?
- 25 A The only thing that would be different on a W-8 account,

- 1 | there is an annual certification of that -- or of the W-8
- 2 status.
- 3 | Q And was that -- was that in place at this time?
- 4 A Yes, it would have been, but the branch doesn't do that.
- 5 It's a centralized process.
- 6 Q And if you'd turn to Government's Exhibit 3, Ms. Reichert.
- 7 What is that document, ma'am?
- 8 A Also a business signature card.
- 9 Q And does it indicate the account name?
- 10 A The account name is Spectack-Ular Holdings, and the signers
- 11 on the account are David A. Struckman and Laura Jean Marie
- 12 Struckman.
- 13 | Q And is there an indication for when this account was opened,
- 14 | ma'am?
- 15 A Yes, the account opened on October 10th, 1997.
- 16 Q And do you know whether or not this was an interest bearing
- 17 account or a non-interest bearing account?
- 18 A From the statement I can tell that it's not interest
- 19 bearing.
- 20 Q And that's when you reviewed Government's Exhibit 6?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | O Does it indicate whether or not this is a W-8 account or
- 23 | non-profit account?
- 24 A It shows zeros as the TIN number, which would indicate a
- 25 W-8.

- 1 Q Turning to page 3 of this exhibit. What is that document?
- 2 A This is the certificate of foreign status.
- 3 Q A W- --
- 4 A The W-8, yes.
- 5 Q And can you determine which account this -- I'm sorry, which
- 6 branch this account was opened?
- 7 A This account opened at the Spring Glen branch in Renton.
- 8 Q Would you look at Government's Exhibit 7, please.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q If you could turn to Check 187, dated June 12th, '97. I
- 11 believe it's the fifth one in. Are you there?
- 12 A Yes, I am.
- 13 | Q Does it indicate which check this was drawn -- which account
- 14 this check was drawn on?
- 15 A This check is drawn on Crescent Moon Enterprises.
- 16 Q And who was it payable to?
- 17 A It is payable to cash.
- 18 0 And the date?
- 19 A The date is June 12th, 1997.
- 20 | Q And what is the amount?
- 21 A The amount is \$9,000 even.
- 22 | Q Ms. Reichert, are you familiar or do you know how an item
- 23 | like this would be processed at your bank with respect to any
- 24 | validation codes that appear on it?
- 25 A Yes, I am.

- Q Would you explain that, please?
- 2 A On the reverse of that check there is a validated line that
- indicates at what branch, on what day, that the check was
- 4 | negotiated. This check indicates that it was cashed -- it was
- 5 cashed for check -- cashed for cash.
- 6 Q And is there any significance if there's only one
- 7 | single data line?
- 8 A No.

- 9 Q And, now, the data line, is there any information on that
- 10 which indicates that the check was cashed for currency?
- 11 A At the end of the data line there's a "cc," and that means
- 12 check cashed.
- 13 Q And are there other data codes that may appear at the end of
- 14 | single data lines?
- 15 A Yes. There's also a code that would be "ci" for cash in to
- 16 the teller window, and a "co," meaning cash paid out for, like,
- 17 | a split deposit.
- 18 Q Who imprints these validation codes?
- 19 A The teller that's waiting on the customer.
- 20 Q And when are the checks imprinted?
- 21 A At the time of the transaction.
- 22 Q And what's the difference between the validation that we see
- 23 with this example and a code that I would -- a code that would
- 24 | appear if you used the check to pay for an electric bill, or --
- 25 A Actually a check for, like, a utility time would not have

- 1 this validation, because it doesn't actually get processed by a
- 2 teller.
- 3 | Q Okay. So the single line is significant because it appears
- 4 | only when it's processed by a teller?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Would you turn to Government's Exhibit 8, please. The
- 7 | second check, Ms. Reichert.
- 8 A 1315?
- 9 Q Yes, ma'am. What is that?
- 10 A That is a check drawn on Alternate Ventures for \$9,000.
- 11 | Q And is it made payable to cash?
- 12 A It is payable to cash.
- 13 0 And is there a validation of this check?
- 14 A Yes, it is. It is validated by a teller.
- 15 | Q And I notice it ends here in F 7. Would you explain that?
- 16 A That is actually an error message on the teller machine.
- 17 | The machines were programmed, and if they lost their
- 18 | programming, it would throw in an F 7, or an ampersand, instead
- 19 of the true designation.
- 20 Q And not withstanding that error code, by looking at the
- 21 | line, can you make a determination whether or not this check --
- 22 | this item was, indeed, processed for cash?
- 23 A Because it's a check, it couldn't be a cash in or a cash out
- 24 transaction. The only thing it could be is a check cashed.
- 25 | Q If you'd go to Government's Exhibit 9. The first check,

- 1 | Check 1500, what is that, ma'am?
- 2 A That is a check drawn on Spectack-Ular Holdings, dated
- 3 | 5/18/98 for \$9,500.
- 4 | Q And is there a validation code that appears on the side?
- 5 A There is a validation code, \$9,500 check cashed.
- 6 Q And that indicates that it was --
- 7 A Cash was paid.
- 8 | Q Ms. Reichert, do you know whether or not there was a change
- 9 in the validation codes in June of 1998?
- 10 A Yes, there was.
- 11 | Q And can you explain that for us, please.
- 12 A Prior to June of '98 U.S. Bank was owned by U.S. Bank. They
- 13 | were purchased by First Bank, and we had a systems conversion,
- 14 which changed the equipment we used.
- 15 Q And what, if any, difference -- what, if anything, happened
- 16 | with respect to the validation codes?
- 17 A The validation moved from the back of the item to two lines
- 18 on the front of the item.
- 19 Q And if you could go to Check 1515, dated June 24, '98.
- 20 | A Okay.
- 21 Q Are you there?
- 22 A Yes, I am.
- 23 Q And did this -- was this processed after the change that you
- 24 | just testified about?
- 25 A Yes, it was.

- 1 Q How do you know?
- 2 A I can tell because the validation is two lines on the front
- 3 of the check, and it has different information in it than the
- 4 | previous ones did.
- 5 Q Okay. And this occurred, again, at what point in time?
- 6 A Mid-June of '98.
- 7 | Q Based on looking at the validation codes here, is there a
- 8 | way to determine whether or not this item was -- was cashed for
- 9 currency and whether or not this item was used to purchase a
- 10 | cashier's check?
- 11 A No, there's not.
- 12 | Q And how would you make that determination?
- 13 A All it says on the new validation would be an on us check,
- 14 | which means it's drawn on U.S. Bank. But to determine if there
- 15 was a cashier's checks issued, you'd actually have to go to the
- 16 | file copies that were kept in the branch.
- 17 | Q And the validation code appearing on 1515, are there any
- 18 other outcomes or possibilities of how this item was handled?
- 19 A No. It would either be used to purchase a cashier's check,
- 20 or it was cash paid.
- 21 Q During this time period, Ms. Reichert, was there an informal
- 22 | practice regarding the way the tellers would treat items such as
- 23 these that were used to purchase a cashier's check?
- 24 A Yeah. The majority of the tellers at the time, if this item
- 25 | was used to purchase a cashier's check, they'd actually write

- 1 that on the back, cashier's check issued in lieu of, so that
- 2 there wasn't a question down the road as to did they pay this
- 3 out in cash or did they issue a cashier's check.
- 4 | Q And do you see anything of that sort on Check 1515?
- 5 A No, I don't.
- 6 Q Were there any other changes in June of 1998 as a result of
- 7 | the company's merger?
- 8 A Our account numbers changed. They went from a 10-digit
- 9 | account number to 15-digit account number. So there would have
- 10 been an interim statement printed. A business statement would
- 11 go from the 1st until the end of the month. During this period
- 12 of time it went from the 1st of June to the middle of June, and
- 13 then a second statement was printed with the new account number
- 14 on it.
- 15 | Q Other than the account number, was there any other changes
- 16 to the accounts?
- 17 A Not that I can think of.
- 18 | Q Do you know what a currency transaction report is, Ms.
- 19 Reichert?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 MR. ODULIO: Hand her Government's exhibit 10, please.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 | Q And what is that document?
- 24 A This is a currency transaction report. The tellers are
- 25 | required to complete this form if there is more than \$10,000 in

```
cash coming into the teller window or going out of their teller
1
    window per customer per day.
2
 3
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, move -- the government moves
    into evidence Exhibit 10.
 4
              THE COURT: Any objections, Ms. Struckman? Hearing no
 5
    objection, it will be admitted.
 6
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 10 admitted.)
 7
         (By Mr. Odulio) Ms. Reichert, drawing your attention to
 8
    Part 1, Section A. Is there an area in this section regarding a
 9
    Social Security number or an EIN?
10
        Yes, there is.
11
    Α
12
        And why is that requested?
        That's their -- Section 1 is for the person who the
13
    transaction was, on whose behalf the transaction was conducted,
14
15
    and you would put their tax I.D. number or Social Security
    number in that box.
16
        And is there also an area for date of birth?
17
18
    Α
        Yes, there is.
        And an address?
19
    0
    Α
       Correct.
20
        And an individual's name?
21
    0
        Yes, individual or the business' name.
22
    Α
        And if you could go to Section D and explain that section.
23
    Q
24
         Section D is for the individual that conducted the
    transaction if it's other than the business name that's listed
25
```

- 1 at the top.
- 2 Q And is there an area also for a Social Security number on
- 3 | that section?
- 4 A Yes, there is.
- 5 Q And Part 2, ma'am, could you describe what that is?
- 6 A Part 2 identifies the type of transaction. That's where
- 7 | you'd list the dollar amount coming in, and what it was used
- 8 for, or how much was paid out.
- 9 Q And Part 3 here on the bottom?
- 10 A Part 3 is the name of the financial institution where the
- 11 transaction took place.
- 12 Q And the reverse of this one, does that regard multiple -- or
- 13 | there's additional individuals participating in the transaction?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 Q And who's responsible for filling out the currency
- 16 transaction form?
- 17 A The teller that processes the transaction.
- 18 | Q And does the customer sign the form in anyplace?
- 19 A No, there's nowhere for the customer to complete.
- 20 | Q And is the customer told that this currency transaction
- 21 | report is being filed?
- 22 A What the customer is told is based on the transaction there
- 23 | is -- there's additional information that the teller needs, and
- 24 | that helps her to complete this form.
- 25 | Q Okay. And where would the teller have to obtain that

```
information, the Social Security number and the date of birth
1
    and the like, the names, where would the teller get that?
2
3
        In most cases the person is a customer of ours, so we
    already have that in our database. If we don't, we merely ask
4
5
    the person that's standing there.
        And do you know where this currency transaction report is
6
 7
    sent?
        It ultimately goes to the IRS.
8
             THE COURT: All right, counsel, I'm going to let the
 9
    jury go to lunch, though it's a few minutes early. I want to
10
    take something up with counsel. Ladies and gentlemen, please
11
    report back. We'll start up again at 1:30. And when I say
12
    1:30, I mean when the secondhand crosses the 12 we start. And
13
    we can't start if any of you aren't present. So please be here
14
    a little bit in advance of 1:30.
15
        (Jury retires to the jury room.)
16
             THE COURT: I want to make a record regarding Ms.
17
    Struckman's insistence that she be permitted to be represented
18
    by her counsel of choice. Am I correct that this issue was
19
20
    discussed at some length before Judge Martinez?
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, it was, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: And am I correct also that the counsel of
22
    choice is not a member of the bar?
23
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
             THE COURT: Do you have some member of the bar at this
```

```
time, Ms. Struckman, that you want to represent you, or is it
1
    the same person that you were talking about before Judge
2
    Martinez?
3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             It's the same person.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. You should understand, Ms.
 5
    Struckman, that this position that you're taking that you're not
 6
    qoing to participate in the proceedings and that it's because
7
    you aren't permitted to have counsel of your choice is one that
 8
    is virtually guaranteed to result in your conviction in this
 9
    matter, and that there is a lot of authority for the proposition
10
    that you will get no relief on appeal on this issue.
11
        It's virtually certain to result in a conviction and that
12
    will not be reversed on appeal, and that you will, in all
13
    likelihood, have to go to prison if you maintain this position.
14
    Do you understand that? I hear no response.
15
        If you have an attorney that is a member of the bar that you
16
    want to represent you, I need to know that. But if we're
17
    talking about the same person, you cannot persist in this
18
19
    position and expect the jury to have any alternative other than
    to hear only one side of this case, and to, as a consequence, in
20
21
    all likelihood, result in a verdict of conviction.
        Having said that, we'll start up again at 1:30.
22
23
        (Recess.)
        (Jury present.)
24
              THE COURT: Please be seated. All right.
25
```

```
(By Mr. Odulio) Ms. Reichert, do you know the defendant,
1
    Laura Struckman?
2
3
        No, I do not.
             MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, if the witness could be
4
    handed Government Exhibit 22.
5
        What is Government's Exhibit 22?
6
        This is a federal deposit insurance corporation certificate
7
    for U.S. Bank showing we're federally insured.
8
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, government moves Exhibit 22.
9
             THE COURT: It be will be admitted.
10
            (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 22 admitted.)
11
             MR. ODULIO: No more questions.
12
             THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to ask any
13
    questions? All right. You may step down.
14
             MR. ODULIO: The government calls Sharon Ashley.
15
16
    SHARON ASHLEY,
                               BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
             THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
17
    name and spell your last name.
18
             THE WITNESS: Sharon L. Ashley, A-s-h-1-e-y.
19
20
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. ODULIO:
21
        Ms. Ashley, where are you employed?
22
23
        Star Physical Therapy.
        Is that located in the State of Washington?
24
25
        Yes, it is.
    Α
```

- 1 Q And what was your previous employment?
- 2 A U.S. Bank.
- 3 Q And what was your position there?
- 4 A I was a merchant vault teller.
- 5 | Q Were you employed at a particular branch?
- 6 A Yes, Spring Glen branch in Renton.
- 7 | Q What years were you employed at the Spring Glen branch?
- 8 A March of 1980 through March of 2000.
- 9 Q What were your duties there?
- 10 A I ordered currency and coin for the branch, and shipped
- 11 currency and coin, bought and sold money to the other tellers.
- 12 | I did merchant deposits and transactions.
- 13 | Q And how was your position different from a regular teller?
- 14 A Well, I was also a customer service teller as well. But it
- 15 differs from -- I handled the -- like I said, the merchant
- 16 deposits and large cash transactions.
- 17 Q And the merchant transactions deal with business accounts?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q And did you have any extra procedures you had to follow in
- 20 | conducting transactions -- large cash transactions?
- 21 A Sometimes I did. If I didn't have the money in my window,
- 22 | it required going to the vault, and sometimes that would require
- 23 | an extra person with a key to go in and get extra cash.
- 24 | Q And did you have to get supervisor approval to conduct any
- 25 of these large cash transactions?

- 1 A Yes, if it was over my check cashing limit.
- 2 Q And what was your limit, do you remember?
- 3 A 5,000.
- 4 Q Did you have to -- any other extra procedures, such as an
- 5 | I.D., or things like that?
- 6 A From the customer, yes, it would require I.D., and sometimes
- 7 | checking a signature card to make sure they were a signer on the
- 8 account.
- 9 Q And were you familiar with the businesses -- business
- 10 | customers that you serviced?
- 11 A Most of them, yes.
- 12 | Q And how were you familiar with them?
- 13 A Just from the frequency of when they came in, I would know
- 14 | them on sight. I would know where they were from.
- 15 | Q And do you know the defendant Laura Struckman?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And could you point her out in the courtroom, where she's
- 18 | sitting and an item of clothing?
- 19 A Right over there to your left. Right over there.
- MR. ODULIO: Let the record reflect that the witness
- 21 has identified the defendant Struckman.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 | Q (By Mr. Odulio) Do you remember your first transaction with
- 24 | the defendant?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And what do you remember about it?
- 2 A She had come in to cash a check, and it was over the \$10,000
- 3 limit required to fill out a CTR report. Do you want me to go
- 4 | ahead and explain the transaction?
- 5 Q Sure.

- 6 MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, if the witness could be given
- 7 | Government Exhibit 10, please.
- 8 | Q Are you familiar with Government Exhibit 10?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Is that the currency transaction report that you just
- 11 | mentioned?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q What happened during the course of that transaction?
- 14 A Since she wanted to cash a check for over the \$10,000, I
- 15 went to get this report. We're required to fill it out, and we
- 16 | fill it out at the time, so we get all the necessary information
- 17 | required on this report.
- And when I got this report and I was going to start to fill
- 19 | it out, she wondered -- Laura wondered what it was and what it
- 20 was for, and basically we just say that because of the amount of
- 21 the transaction we're required by law to fill this out. And
- 22 then she kind of -- kind of hesitated, and -- like she didn't
- 23 want to carry on with the transaction, at least not the original
- 24 transaction.
- 25 | Q So what happened next?

- 1 A She asked if she was to write a check for this amount if
- 2 this report would be required, and I said no. So she went ahead
- 3 | and wrote another check out which was less than \$10,000.
- 4 | Q And had you seen her before this particular transaction?
- 5 A Not that I can recall.
- 6 Q And why does this particular transaction stick out in your
- 7 mind?
- 8 A Well, it wouldn't have at first, but then afterwards she
- 9 kept coming back to cash more checks. And, of course, they were
- 10 then under the \$10,000 limit. So based on the frequency that
- 11 | she came in afterwards, that's what made this first transaction
- 12 stick in my mind.
- MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, if the witness could be
- 14 handed Government's Exhibit 7, 8 and 9.
- 15 Q If you'd look at Government's Exhibit 7, Ms. Ashley.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q And open it to the first check. What is that document?
- 18 A It's a check for \$9,000 drawn on Crescent Moon Enterprises
- 19 | payable to cash.
- 20 | Q And do you recognize that account, Crescent Moon
- 21 | Enterprises?
- 22 A Yes, I do.
- 23 | Q How do you recognize it?
- 24 | A It's one of the accounts that Laura Struckman is a signer
- 25 on.

- 1 | Q Is there a handwriting that you recognize on this writing?
- 2 A On the back of the check, yes, it's my handwriting. It's
- 3 | the I.D. that I took during the transaction.
- 4 | Q Did you always take I.D. during transactions with Ms.
- 5 | Struckman?
- 6 A In the beginning, yes, until, you know, we knew who she was,
- 7 | and didn't always list it on the back at that time. But in the
- 8 beginning, yes.
- 9 Q And do you remember what type of I.D.s that Ms. Struckman
- 10 | provided?
- 11 A Washington driver's license and a Wells Fargo Visa card.
- 12 | Q Did she provide you any other identification during the
- 13 | course of your dealings with her?
- 14 A Yes, she did.
- 15 | Q What were those items?
- 16 A An international driver's license, and a credit card drawn
- 17 out of the country on Mexico, or something like that that I
- 18 recall.
- 19 | Q If you'd go to Government's Exhibit 8, please. If you can
- 20 | go to an item dated June 26th, 1997, check number 1343. Are you
- 21 there, ma'am?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q What is that item?
- 24 | A This is a check for \$9,000 drawn on Alternate Ventures
- 25 | payable to cash.

- 1 Q And do you recognize that account?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 Q How do you recognize it?
- 4 A It's also a business account that Laura Struckman was a
- 5 | signer on.
- 6 Q And is there handwriting on the back of this item?
- 7 A Yes, there is.
- 8 Q Do you recognize that?
- 9 A Yes, it is mine.
- 10 Q Ms. Ashley, you testified earlier that you would need
- 11 authorization from your supervisor to conduct transactions such
- 12 | as this?
- 13 A Correct, if they were over my check cashing limit.
- 14 | Q Did you have to follow that procedure with Ms. Struckman?
- 15 A In the beginning I did, yes.
- 16 Q And what changed?
- 17 | A At some point when I went to get a check okayed -- it has to
- 18 be okayed by the branch manager or a teller supervisor. At some
- 19 | point, since we knew who she was, I asked if I still needed to
- 20 get these okayed, you know, get them initialed by the
- 21 | supervisor, and they said no.
- 22 | Q And why did they say no?
- 23 A Just because they knew who she was and that she was a signer
- 24 on the account. So just based on her coming in rather often
- 25 | they just said I didn't need to get them okayed anymore.

- 1 Q Do you remember how many times she would come in a week?
- 2 A Sometimes as -- usually on average maybe twice, but
- 3 | sometimes she came in three times a week.
- 4 | Q If you could turn to Government's Exhibit 9. If you could
- 5 open to the second item. Do you recognize that?
- 6 A Yes, I do.
- 7 Q And what is it?
- 8 | A It's a check for \$9,500 drawn on Spectack-Ular Holdings
- 9 | payable to cash.
- 10 | Q And are you aware of that -- do you recognize the
- 11 | Spectack-Ular Holdings bank account?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q How do you recognize it?
- 14 A It's also an account that Laura Struckman is a signer on.
- 15 | Q And is there a handwriting on the back of this --
- 16 A Yes, there is.
- 17 | Q And do you recognize that?
- 18 A Yes, it is my handwriting.
- 19 Q Do you remember whether or not Ms. Struckman cashed any
- 20 checks with you for an amount exceeding \$10,000?
- 21 A No, I believe she did not.
- 22 | Q And could you describe what a typical transaction with Ms.
- 23 | Struckman was?
- 24 | A It was just a check like these payable to cash, usually for
- 25 | 9,000, 9,500, and she just wanted to cash it and get large

- 1 | bills, usually hundreds.
- 2 | Q And what was your practice in terms of counting out those
- 3 denominations to the defendant?
- 4 | A In the beginning I would -- I would hand count each bill to
- 5 her. Towards the end, it just got so I -- I would just strap
- 6 count it. Instead of counting each bill individually, I would
- 7 | just count the straps, you know, like, 1, 2, 3. There's a
- 8 | thousand dollars in each strap.
- 9 Q And did the defendant's withdrawals have an effect on the
- 10 | cash inventory at your bank?
- 11 A I'm sorry?
- 12 | Q Did the defendant's withdrawals, did that have an effect on
- 13 | the inventory of cash at your bank?
- 14 A Yes, it did.
- 15 | Q Could you please describe that?
- 16 A Well, since I was the vault teller I was responsible for
- 17 ordering and shipping currency for the branch. And we do have a
- 18 | cash limit for the branch. And we're not supposed to exceed
- 19 that.
- 20 And when she would come in two or three times a week and
- 21 get -- you know, cash \$9,500 checks in hundreds, I would be sure
- 22 | I had to have that, plus have extra hundreds for the other
- 23 | customers who would want to cash, you know, large checks.
- 24 And it got to the point where she was coming in and
- 25 depleting our supply of cash, large bills, that I had to ask her

- ahead of time, you know, are you coming in, you know, twice next 1 week, or whatever, and she would let me know when she was coming 2 in so I could order cash accordingly, so her transactions would 3 be covered, and I would still have bills, enough cash for the 4 5 other customers. And based on your experience, was this kind of account 6 activity typical for the other merchant or business accounts 7 that you serviced? 8 9 No. Why not? 10 It's very rare that -- I mean, I can't even recall of 11 another business conducting these types of transactions. 12 Usually they'll just write checks to whoever they're paying, or 13 whatever, or they -- they would come in and get cashier's 14 checks, you know, if they needed to pay bills, or whatever. 15 16 very rarely would it be, you know, cash in this amount. Ms. Ashley, was there a time when -- when you or your 17 18 colleagues made an inquiry about the type of business Ms. -- the defendant engaged in? 19 20 Yes, there was. Α When was that?
- 21
- I can't say at what point it was. She had been coming in 22 for quite a while at this point, and we were curious as to what 23 24 the business was. And at some point one of the other tellers 25 asked her -- I was conducting a transaction with Laura, and one

```
of the other tellers asked her, you know, what --
1
             THE COURT: You need to lay additional foundation as to
2
    why this is not hearsay.
3
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor.
4
5
        (By Mr. Odulio) Ms. Ashley, during the course of your
6
    dealings with Ms. Struckman, did you learn what type of business
    that she engaged in?
7
    Α
        Yes.
8
        And how -- how did you get that information?
        She had -- she asked -- well, like I said, another teller
10
    asked her, but I was present. Laura was standing in --
11
12
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead then.
        (continued) Laura was standing in front of me when the
13
    teller asked this question, "What does your business do?" And
14
15
    Laura replied that they conduct seminars for people who want to
    start their own businesses, or it's a small business seminar.
16
17
             MR. ODULIO: With the Court's indulgence.
        ( Brief pause.)
18
             MR. ODULIO: No more questions.
19
             THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to ask any
20
21
    questions? All right. You may step down.
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government calls Shoshanna
22
23
    Szuch.
24
    SHOSHANNA SZUCH,
                                BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
25
             THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
```

- 1 | name and spell your last name.
- THE WITNESS: My name is Shoshanna Szuch. My last name
- 3 | is S-z-u-c-h.
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. ODULIO:
- 6 Q Ms. Szuch, where do you reside?
- 7 A In Charleston, South Carolina.
- 8 Q And what is your occupation?
- 9 A I have a marketing business where I do print and web design.
- 10 Q Ms. Szuch, are you cooperating with the government pursuant
- 11 | to a plea agreement?
- 12 A Yes, I am.
- 13 | Q And what did you plead guilty to?
- 14 | A Tax evasion.
- 15 | Q Have you heard about an organization called the Institute of
- 16 | Global Prosperity?
- 17 A I have.
- 18 | Q And is your plea connected to your activities with the
- 19 | Institute of Global Prosperity?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Are you currently awaiting sentencing?
- 22 A Yes, I am.
- 23 | Q Who founded the institute of Global Prosperity?
- 24 | A Dave Struckman and Dan Anderson.
- 25 | Q And what did they do?

- 1 A They sold a tape course of 12 cassette tapes and had
- 2 seminars, three- to five-day seminars.
- 3 | Q And do you remember how much these tapes were sold for?
- 4 A The tapes were sold for \$1,250, and the seminars were sold
- 5 | for \$6,250.
- 6 Q And where did these seminars take place?
- 7 A The seminars were either in Mexico, Aruba, somewhere
- 8 offshore.
- 9 Q And did there come a time when you began to work for the
- 10 | Institute of Global Prosperity?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q And what were your duties?
- 13 A I had administrative responsibilities for published
- 14 materials, and over time -- and then recorded calls, managing,
- 15 | whatever. It was a very decentralized organization, so -- and
- 16 then over time I started helping with the seminars.
- 17 | Q When you say manage calls, what do you mean?
- 18 A Recorded calls. There were gap lines that people could call
- 19 | into to find out what the program was about, and they would
- 20 | listen to a recorded call. There were about eight to ten
- 21 | recorded calls at any given time that talked about the program
- 22 or talked about training.
- 23 | Q And you said you were involved with the seminars. Could you
- 24 | elaborate on that, please?
- 25 A My husband and I helped with the logistics of the seminars,

- the planning of how many rooms to hold, what food and beverage functions, what meeting facilities.
  - Q And what was David Struckman's role in this business?
- 4 A Aside from being one of the founders, he was one of the top
- 5 | marketing guys. He was the top marketing guy. He knew
- 6 everything that you needed to know about marketing and making
- 7 | phone calls, talking to people, encouraging them to purchase one
- 8 | thing and then the next. And training. Mostly all to do with
- 9 marketing.

- 10 Q And as part of your duties, your job duties, did you have an
- 11 occasion to interact with David Struckman?
- 12 A Yes. When it came to the recorded phone calls, he oversaw
- 13 | that they were the ones that he wanted to have up. And when it
- 14 came to the hotel rooms, he was the one that gave us direction
- 15 as far as how many rooms to book with the number of attendants
- 16 | that he wanted to pull.
- 17 Q Have you ever met the defendant, Laura Struckman?
- 18 A I have.
- 19 Q Can you identify her by an item of clothing and where she is
- 20 | in the courtroom?
- 21 A She's sitting with the black top to my right.
- 22 MR. ODULIO: Let the record reflect that the witness
- 23 | has identified the defendant.
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 | Q (By Mr. Odulio) Do you know if Laura Struckman had an

- involvement in this business?
- 2 A I know that there was a business named Alternate Ventures
- 3 | that she was in charge of when she was married to Dave, and that
- 4 | had to do with a post card program to help generate leads.
- 5 People could buy those. At the seminars she would show up with
- 6 him, and introduce him as her husband and talk about things of
- 7 their life together.
- 8 Q Were you at the first seminar that was conducted by this
- 9 organization?
- 10 A Yes.

- 11 | Q And can you recall what year that took place?
- 12 A That was February of 1997.
- 13 | Q And do you remember where that seminar took place?
- 14 A It was in Cancun, Mexico.
- 15 | Q Do you remember how many people attended that seminar?
- 16 A About 250.
- 17 | Q Were there subsequent seminars that you attended?
- 18 | A I attended every one until I disassociated. So I attended
- 19 two to three a year at the beginning, and then it turned into
- 20 more. It would be at least three trips, but sometimes there
- 21 | would be two seminars, one week -- a week and a week, two
- 22 | separate seminars.
- 23 | Q Did there come a time when more and more people began to
- 24 attend the seminars?
- 25 A Yes.

Q When was that?

- 2 A It got bigger in '98. There was a time when between my
- 3 | husband and I we were managing 3,000 people in a two-week
- 4 | period. So 1,500 in and out, and then another 1,500 in and out.
- 5 Q And do you know what happened with the profits generated .
- 6 from these seminars?
- 7 A I only know that the co-founder, Dan Anderson, all the money
- 8 | went into an office in Boston, as far as I know, that -- and he
- 9 | managed all of the accounts as far as I know, and he would share
- 10 | the profits with Dave Struckman when it was just those two. And
- 11 I only knew that from what they told me.
- 12 | Q Did you listen to the tape series yourself?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 | Q And when you attended the seminars, did you listen to the
- 15 | speakers at the seminars?
- 16 A I did.
- 17 | Q Was a subject called sovereignty discussed as part of these
- 18 | seminars and tapes?
- 19 A Yes, it was.
- 20 | Q And what was your understanding of sovereignty?
- 21 A Sovereignty had to do with -- it was two-fold. It was --
- 22 one was separating from the government, and another one was
- 23 | freedom in general.
- 24 So it included giving up a Social Security number, getting
- 25 away from anything that had your name on it, getting involved

```
with purchasing of trusts, having trusts own anything of
1
    material value, essentially becoming a steward to these trusts.
2
    And banking would have been offshore, or domestically with a W-8
3
    account.
 5
        Every --
        Just to be clear, the organization Global Prosperity itself
 6
 7
    did not sell these packages?
        Correct.
    Α
 8
        They merely sold a ticket to attend the seminar?
 9
                There was a lot of other information as well.
10
11
        You just mentioned a W-8. How are you familiar with that?
        At one time, when people were starting to use them, they
12
    were excited about these accounts, because they could open them
13
    up within the United States, even though it had a foreign --
14
    supposedly a foreign jurisdiction. And then over time I heard
15
    that the government was cracking down on them and people should
16
    stay away from them. And then later on -- apparently I opened
17
    up one and used a W-8. I don't recall seeing it, but I have
18
19
    been shown the documents.
         So you adopted some of these practices yourself?
20
        I did.
21
        And could you give us some examples of what you did?
22
         Short of not -- withdrawing myself from Social Security, I
23
    had bank accounts out of our country. I did not file tax
24
     returns. I had bank cards that I would use for getting money
25
```

```
out of ATM machines. I had trust accounts, trusts that I
1
    established to hold bank -- bank accounts supposedly and
2
    personal property.
3
        And were you using -- benefiting these items -- from these
    items?
5
    A Pardon?
6
        Were you using these bank accounts and utilizing this
7
    property that was placed in these nominees?
8
9
        Yes.
        Did you do anything regarding the mail?
10
        Yes. I had a PO box at like a mail drop place, like a
11
    Mailboxes, Et Cetera.
12
13
        Did David Struckman speak at these seminars?
        He did.
14
15
        And did he speak on the topic of sovereignty?
16
    Α
       He did.
        And was Laura Struckman present?
17
18
        Some of the times.
19
             MR. ODULIO: With the Court's indulgence.
        (Brief pause.)
20
             MR. ODULIO: No more questions, Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to ask any
    questions? You may step down.
23
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, the United States calls David
24
25
    Bowden to the witness stand.
```

BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: DAVID BOWDEN, 1 THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full 2 name and spell your last name. 3 THE WITNESS: Dave Bowden, B-o-w-d-e-n. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 б BY MR. MAIETTA: Mr. Bowden, where do you currently live? 7 In Kent, Washington, 17823 Southeast 224th. 8 Where did you live prior to that address? 9 I lived on Benson Highway in Renton. I lived at 18613 108th 10 Avenue Southeast. 11 12 And how long had you lived at that address for? At that time maybe about 12, 13 years. 13 Do you know a person by the name of Laura Struckman? 14 15 Α Yes. And how do you know Laura Struckman? 16 I know her from the -- from her and Dave coming in to get 17 18 their cars detailed. 19 And how long have you known Laura Struckman for? I think it was at the end of '96, early '97. 20 21 And can you look around the courtroom right now and let me 22 know if you see a Ms. Laura Struckman in the courtroom? Α Yes. 23 And could you point her out by her location? 24 Q

She's on the right side in the black sweater.

- Bowden direct 103 MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, would the record reflect 1 identification of the witness? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. MAIETTA: Or the defendant. 4 (By Mr. Maietta) Do you know David Struckman? 5 6 Yes. 7 And how do you know David Struckman? Him and Laura came in to get their cars detailed at my shop 8 Α when they were first going together. 9 What was the name of your shop? 10 It was D J's Detail Shop. 11 Okay. What did you do? 12 Cleaned cars, waxed them, cleaned the interior. 13 And if you could tell us how you first -- how you came about 14 to meet Dave Struckman? 15 Oh, I think him and Laura came in with some old cars. Laura 16 17 had a Probe, a Ford Probe, and Dave had an old pickup, and they just were -- came in to have them detailed. 18 19 And what kind of cars would David Struckman have detailed? Well, at that time they were kind of beaters, at that time, 20 21 because it was just right at the beginning of this, when I first 22 met them.
- 23 | Q And did there come a time when he brought other cars to you?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And what other cars did he bring to you to have serviced?

- A There was a Ford Expedition, a Firebird convertible, '67 or
- 2 '8, and a '67 Mustang convertible, and then the '32 Plymouth
- 3 | that he bought from me.
- 4 | Q Okay. How often would he bring these cars to you?
- 5 A Oh, it varied, if they were in town or not. But it was --
- 6 you know, they -- it was probably two to three cars later on a
- 7 | week, or more.
- 8 Q And how did he pay for those?
- 9 A Cash.
- 10 | Q You just mentioned a car that was purchased. What kind of
- 11 | car?
- 12 A It was a '32 Plymouth four door sedan.
- 13 | Q And what type of work, if any, did you have in that car, did
- 14 | you do to that car?
- 15 A Yeah, I did the car. I had a new engine in it, new
- 16 | transmission, a V-8. I had -- I put an electric sun roof in it.
- 17 It had mags, and everything else on it.
- 18 Q How much money did you spend in repairing this car?
- 19 A Oh, anywhere from 26,000, probably, or more, you know, plus
- 20 my labor.
- 21 | Q Did there come a time when you sold the car to somebody?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q And who did you sell it to?
- 24 A I sold it to Dave Struckman.
- 25 | Q Could you just tell us about that transaction, how it

## transpired?

- 2 A He came in one day, and that's -- he -- I think he bought
- 3 | that Expedition first, and then he came in and asked me if --
- 4 you know, he said he wanted the car. And I told him it wasn't
- 5 | for sale. And he said, "Name your price. I want the car." I
- 6 | told him I'd let him know tomorrow, because I didn't have a clue
- 7 | what I had in it. And so the next day or two he came back, and
- 8 I told him 28,000.
- 9 Q What did he say?
- 10 A He said, "I'll be back in about a half hour."
- 11 | Q What -- when he came back, what happened?
- 12 A He came back with \$28,000 cash in a paper bag, hundred
- 13 | dollar bills.
- 14 Q What did you do with the money?
- 15 A I put it in the bank, I think, most of it.
- 16 Q What other type of vehicles did you detail at your shop?
- 17 A Everything, boats on the water, out of the water, you know,
- 18 cars, RVs, anything.
- 19 Q Did there come a time when you were detailing a boat for a
- 20 | customer and Dave Struckman was interested in that boat?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Could you tell us a little bit about that transaction, or
- 23 | that --
- 24 A I was cleaning a boat for a customer, and Dave dropped in.
- 25 | I don't think he was having his car done that day, but he

- 1 dropped in, and said he wanted to buy the boat. I told him it
- 2 | was a customer's boat. And I said I didn't know if it was for
- 3 | sale. And he said, "Call him." So I called the guy up, and he
- 4 | said, yeah, he wanted to sell it. That's why he was having it
- 5 detailed.
- 6 And --
- 7 Q And do you know if Mr. Struckman then bought the boat?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And do you know how much the boat cost?
- 10 A I think it was around -- it was either 26 -- I think it was
- 11 | around 26,000. I'm not real positive, but it's somewhere in
- 12 | that -- it was in the twenties.
- 13 | Q And where did that transaction take place?
- 14 A I think it took -- right in front of the house there when I
- 15 | was detailing it.
- 16 | Q Your house?
- 17 A And it was the same type of thing, you know, he brought the
- 18 | guy cash. And I was working, so I didn't really see it go on,
- 19 but the owner told me, he said the guy gave him a bag of cash.
- 20 | Q Did there -- I'm going to draw your attention to a different
- 21 subject. Did there come a time when you learned what Laura
- 22 | Struckman and Dave Struckman did for a living?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Did you know where they worked, or what business they worked
- 25 at?

- 1 A Yeah, later on. It was quite a bit later.
- 2 Q What was the name -- what was the name of that business?
- 3 A Global Prosperity.
- 4 | Q And what was your understanding of what that business did?
- 5 A I didn't have any for quite a while. It was pretty
- 6 confusing. It was a -- it was pretty complicated, the whole
- 7 | thing, so -- at first they don't really tell you what's going on
- 8 at all in the business. It's -- it's all strung out, and it
- 9 takes quite a while before you catch on what's going on, and by
- 10 | that time you're already sticking money in it.
- 11 Q Did there come a time when you learned more about the
- 12 details?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q And how did you learn about those details?
- 15 A They were having seminars at their house. They had three or
- 16 | four at their house, seminars, small groups.
- 17 Q And who was present at these seminars?
- 18 A Dave, Laura, just -- and then other people that were brought
- 19 | into the business.
- 20 Q Were there seminars held at other areas -- other places in
- 21 | this area?
- 22 A Yeah. I had them at my house after -- their house was
- 23 | small. I had a large house, so I wanted to look, see what --
- 24 | you know, learn more about it. So I told them to have them at
- 25 my house.

```
1
        And at some points there was 60 some people there from
    everywhere, Hawaii, every state in the, you know, United States,
2
    people were flying in, and they had them bused up from Holiday
3
    Inn, and everywhere.
4
        What type of products could you purchase if you were
5
6
    interested?
7
    A You could get a foreign driver's license, change your
    birth -- you know, a foreign birth certificate, or a -- I don't
8
    know what they were. Trusts, you know, foreign trusts, foreign
9
    married -- or, you know, a marriage license. And they were
10
    just -- you know, they basically told everybody to drop
11
12
    everything they had with the government and get these -- you
    know, drop out of the system basically, and get all these
13
14
    foreign permits and licenses, and everything, and then you
    wouldn't have to pay taxes.
15
        Was there other seminars that were held?
16
17
    Α
        Yes.
        And where were they held at?
18
19
        After I had enough of it -- there was just too many people
20
    coming. There was three of them at my house, and they were
    basically the same thing. And they'd tell these people to go
21
22
    overseas to learn more about what was going on, because it was
    illegal to say a lot of this in the United States.
23
        But they did held -- hold them down in Renton for a while
24
    at -- I don't know if it was a VFW, or something, a hall down
25
```

- 1 | there for a while, and then they -- after that I think they went
- 2 on tour kind of around the United States, and was holding them
- 3 all over then. And then plus Cancun, Mexico, they were having
- 4 | a -- this is one of their steps. They had like a group 1, 2, 3,
- 5 | whatever it was.
- 6 Q Did you attend one of the seminars that went off --
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 0 That was offshore?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And where was that seminar located?
- 11 A It was in Cancun, Mexico.
- 12 | Q And was Ms. Struckman at that seminar, if you remember?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q And was David Struckman at that seminar?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q What -- back in 1997 and 1998 where did you bank?
- 17 A U.S. Bank.
- 18 Q And what branch was that?
- 19 A Spring Glen.
- 20 | Q Do you know if Laura Struckman and Dave Struckman also
- 21 banked at that particular branch?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q How do you know that?
- 24 A Because that's -- Dave took me down to set up a foreign
- 25 | trust, because I didn't even know what a foreign trust was. He

- 1 | took me down and set it up there, and told me that's where they
- 2 | did all their transactions and had their trusts set up.
- 3 | Q Did there come a time when you saw Laura Struckman at the
- 4 bank?
- 5 A Yes, quite often, in the mornings.
- 6 Q Did you ever see her speaking to a particular bank employee?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And who was that bank employee?
- 9 A It was usually -- her name is Sharon. I don't know what her
- 10 | name was, but I think she did all of the big transactions, or
- 11 | something. She was always the main person at the bank, I think,
- 12 so --
- 13 | Q And when you saw Laura at the bank -- strike that question.
- 14 | Who did you see more often at the bank, Laura Struckman or Dave
- 15 | Struckman?
- 16 A Laura.
- 17 Q Did Laura Struckman ever tell you about her banking
- 18 practices?
- 19 A Well, yeah, during the conversations between her and Dave
- 20 and me, you know, when I would go and pick up cars or something,
- 21 | they, you know, they'd mention that they'd go down to the bank
- 22 | every day and get under \$10,000 because the government wouldn't
- 23 | find out about it. They wouldn't have to fill out some forms,
- 24 or something. I don't know.
- 25 | Q Did Laura Struckman tell you anything about what they did

with the money they had, they withdrew?

A Well, it was pretty obvious them showing up with all these new cars and boats, and then they both mentioned that they did have a banking account or a safe deposit box up in Bellevue or, you know, up north, Kirkland, Bellevue. I'm not sure where it

6 was. I never really paid --

Q What did they tell you they did with that safe deposit box?

A Just put money in it, I guess. You know, other than -- you know, they said they were shipping out a hundred, two hundred thousand dollars at a time in paper bags, grocery bags, wrapped up in UPS and right through the mail.

And they said at one point they had so much money that they had to change the way they were doing it, because they were shipping too much of it out. And it was going back East and down South and Denver, and I don't know where.

- Q Let me back up a little bit. You had talked about how you had gone over to Dave Struckman and Laura Struckman's house. Do you know where that was located back in 1997 and 1998?
- 19 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 Q And where was that?
- A It was in Renton. I don't know the address. But I would
  pick up their cars. After I got into it a little bit, I would
  go pick up their cars all the time at their house.
- 24 | Q Were you inside the house?
- 25 | A Yes.

- Q What did you see inside the house?
- 2 A Well, it -- at one point, you know, they had all real nice
- 3 | new furniture, fancy stuff. And, you know, another time I'd go
- 4 | up there and it would all be gone and there would be -- you
- 5 know, I didn't count them, but three walls, four walls full of
- 6 | computers and printers and stacks of money all over the place.
- 7 | And tapes and, you know, just literature and stuff they were,
- 8 you know, sending out.
- 9 MR. MAIETTA: Okay. Court's indulgence.
- 10 (Brief Pause.)
- 11 | Q Mr. Bowden, was there -- did there come a time when the
- 12 defendant and Dave Struckman took you out to dinner?
- 13 A Yes.

- 14 | Q And do you remember the timeframe of when that took place?
- 15 A Well, not really. It was later on. I just couldn't figure
- 16 out what they were, you know, asking us for, because, you know,
- 17 | we didn't have nothing. They had -- you know, you could tell
- 18 | they were --
- 19 | Q Okay.
- 20 A -- had quite a bit.
- 21 | Q Where did they take you to dinner?
- 22 A Metropolitan Grill. It was somewhere around here, downtown
- 23 | Seattle.
- 24 | Q And who paid for dinner?
- 25 A Dave and Laura.

- Q And what was the cost of the dinner?
- 2 A I think it was -- the four of us, it was a little over a
- 3 | thousand dollars.

1

- 4 MR. MAIETTA: No further questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to ask any
- 6 | questions? You may step down.
- 7 MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, the United States calls
- 8 | Eileen Boman with the Department of Licensing, State of
- 9 | Washington.
- 10 EILEEN BOMAN,

BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

- 11 THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
- 12 | name and spell your last name.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Eileen R. Boman, B-o-m-a-n.
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. MAIETTA:
- 16 Q Ms. Boman, where do you work?
- 17 | A Washington State Department of licensing in Olympia.
- 18 | Q And what is your position?
- 19 A I am classified a licensing services manager in the public
- 20 disclosure and records unit, legal services.
- 21 | Q And what are some of your responsibilities in that position?
- 22 | A I am the custodian of records. I certify records. We have
- 23 | contracts and non-contract public disclosure requests that come
- 24 in, and provide records to them.
- 25 | Q And so part of your responsibilities are to maintain and

- 1 | collect information?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 MR. MAIETTA: Madam Clerk, could you show the witness
- 4 | Government's Exhibit No. 14 and 15?
- 5 Q Ms. Boman, could you look at the three pages in Exhibits --
- 6 in Exhibit 14 and tell us what those documents are?
- 7 A The first document is the vehicle title application
- 8 registration certificate. The second page is a copy of the
- 9 vehicle certificate of title. The third page is a department
- 10 copy of the vehicle title application registration certificate.
- 11 | Q Okay. Are these documents that are generated and stored by
- 12 | the Department of Licensing?
- 13 A Yes, they are.
- 14 | Q And are the events that appear in the document, the dates
- 15 and the numbers, are they made at or near the time that the
- 16 document would have been prepared?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q And is it the regular practice of the State of Washington to
- 19 | make such a record?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 | Q And was this record kept in the regularly conducted
- 22 business?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 | Q Now, was this document also required to be recorded or
- 25 | filed?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, government moves to admit
- 3 Exhibit No. 14.
- 4 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 5 (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 14 admitted.)
- 6 Q (By Mr. Maietta) Ms. Boman, again with regard to Exhibit
- 7 No. 14, are there seals on these documents?
- 8 A Yes, all three are -- have the raised seal and my
- 9 | signature -- a copy of my signature, with a stamp.
- 10 | Q Your signature?
- 11 A Yes, my signature.
- 12 Q We'll go to Exhibit 15.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q And, again, what is Exhibit 15, the first page only?
- 15 A The first page only is the vessel title application
- 16 registration certificate.
- 17 Q Now, is that a document that is regularly stored and
- 18 | maintained by the department?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 | Q Okay. Is it a regular practice to make such a record?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 | Q And when a -- was this record made at or near the time of
- 23 | the events described in the document?
- 24 A Yes, it was.
- 25 | Q And is it a regular course of practice for the department to

```
keep this record?
2
        Yes.
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, we'd move to admit
3
    Government's Exhibit No. 15 in evidence.
4
5
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 15 admitted.)
6
             MR. MAIETTA: The Court's indulgence.
7
        (Brief pause.)
8
9
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, no further questions for this
10
    witness.
             THE COURT: Any cross-examination, Ms. Struckman? You
11
    may step down. You folks can stand up and stretch, if you'd
12
    like.
13
      (Brief Pause.)
14
15
             THE CLERK: Step forward, please, and raise your right
    hand.
16
    DALE MITCHELL,
                                BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
17
18
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. MAIETTA:
19
        Mr. Mitchell, where are you employed?
20
        I'm employed at National Security Centers and Data Site
21
    Northwest.
22
             THE COURT: Sir, could you state your full name for the
23
24
    record, if you would, please.
25
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, my name is Dale Hoyze
```

```
Mitchell - direct
    Mitchell.
 1
             THE COURT: Can you spell the middle name?
 2
 3
             THE WITNESS: H-o-y-z-e.
         (By Mr. Maietta) And what is the National Security Center?
 4
 5
    Can you describe that again?
        National Security Centers is a safe deposit center, and
    that's where we have approximately 2,000 safe deposit boxes.
 7
        And what is Data Site?
 8
        They're both storage companies. Data Site stores computer
    media, and its sister company, National Security Centers,
10
    stores -- does the safe deposit boxes.
11
        Okay. And where is that -- where are they located?
12
13
        They're located at 12000 Northeast 8th in Bellevue.
14
        They're both located in Bellevue?
15
        They are both located in the same place.
16
        Is your company private, or is it affiliated with the
    government in any way?
17
        It is completely private.
18
        And what is your present position at that company?
19
20
    Α
        I am one of the two owners, and I do the accounting and
21
    logistics, and my partner does the sales.
```

And who is your other partner?

His name is Dave Heric, H-e-r-i-c.

And which company would you say you work more with?

Data Site is the bigger of the two companies.

22

23

24

```
And do you meet with customers in regard to the National
1
    Center -- National Security Center, the safe deposit box?
2
        On the National Security Center side, I'm the one who does
3
    approximately about half of meeting with the customers as they
4
    come to access their safe deposit box, myself and a clerk in my
5
    office.
 6
        What size safe deposit boxes do you lease?
 7
        The most common is our smallest, which is 5-by-10-by-18, and
 8
    then it goes to as large as a small refrigerator.
 9
10
        And what are the prices that range for the smallest to the
    biggest?
11
        The smallest would be $10 a month, which is $120 a year, and
12
13
    the largest approximately $200 a month, or 2,000 a year -- or
    2,400 a year. I apologize.
14
        And can you walk us through how a person would actually
15
16
    lease a safe deposit box?
               They come to us a lot of times through -- we
17
    advertise in the Yellow Pages. They come to us at the window,
18
    where we meet them, tell them about the safe deposit center. We
19
20
    typically give them a tour of the center first.
         The tour entails a -- they will sign in. That allows them
21
    to go into the man trap, where they keypunch a code, and upon
22
    keypunching that code they're let into the safe deposit center.
23
         What types of documents must be filled out in order to lease
24
```

a safe deposit box?

```
What we do, the documents is -- it's a lease document
1
    similar to a lease on a building. We're basically leasing a
2
3
    space to them.
        Mm-hmm.
        So we do not like a bank take a Social Security number. And
    they will typically sign the lease. Typically if they pay by
6
    check, I don't take a look at their I.D. If they pay in cash, I
7
    just verify their address. And once they sign the lease, then
8
    they have access to their box any of our open hours.
        What other document besides the lease is used or --
10
        I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I should have completed it. Once a
11
    lease is signed, they sign an authorization card. So any time
12
13
    they come in and sign and access, I have something to match up
14
    their signatures. So they sign the authorization card.
        When they enter into the man trap, they enter into -- a code
15
16
    that they know. I enter in their box number and we get an
17
    encryption. It's a six digit number that would identify -- if I
    can't quite measure up their signature, at least the code would
18
19
    match up. Because some people's signature changes every time
20
    they come in.
21
        Once they have done their code and it matches up, then
22
    they're allowed into the main facility. Of which they have the
    only -- we provide them with two keys. We do not have any key
23
    to their box.
24
```

25 Q Do you issue -- issue any other type of documents so when a

- Mitchell direct 120 person comes into the man trap or when they come into the 1 2 warehouse to go to a safe deposit box --Yes. 3 -- is there anything else they get? 4 Okay. So when they -- now that they're in the system, when 5 they show up they will pick up a little signature card. They 6 7 will sign their name and put the box number, and that tells me to pull their authorization card. 8 I time stamp that signature card and I also time stamp a 9 history card. So the signature card is a one time stamp. The 10 11 history card shows a history of all the stamps that they've come 12 in. And do you keep a record of all of these documents? 13 I keep on a monthly basis the signature cards. I put them 14 away in history, and we don't throw those away. And then the 15 history card itself is also kept after they surrender their box, 16 when the box -- they get done with their box. We still keep all 17 the documents. 18 And do you keep a history log or a record of everybody who 19 20 comes into your business? Well, each person that comes in has a signature card, like I 21 said. They -- once they've entered, then we also stamp their 22 23 individual box history. So if I go to a particular history
- 24 card, I can see if they've accessed it 10 times, 12 times in the 25 last year.

```
Did there come a time when a Laura Struckman and a Dave
1
    Struckman leased a safe deposit box with your company?
        Per my records, they leased -- I'm trying to remember the
3
    structure of what happened, but they did lease several boxes
 4
    with our company.
 5
        Okay.
 6
             MR. MAIETTA: Madam Clerk, could you show the witness
 7
    Government Exhibit 13?
8
    Q And, Mr. Mitchell, could you just look on the first page of
 9
    the Government's Exhibit 13 and tell us what that document is?
10
        That is our standard lease rental document. The handwriting
11
    on that is -- most of it is my handwriting. The signature would
12
13
    be the tenant and the address would be the only two things that
    weren't my handwriting.
14
        Okay. Could you turn to the next page, page number 2? And
15
    what's that?
16
        This would be the invoice and payment on the original sign
17
    up. This is all my handwriting. It simply says what their
18
19
    annual rental was on the box, and any security deposit that they
    paid. And at the very top I noted that they had been referred
20
    by somebody in Bellingham. So once in a while we just ask and
21
22
    they tell us who referred them.
    Q Okay. And page numbered 3 and 4, are those -- what are
23
    those documents?
24
       Number 3 and 4, as they come in and pay, this document is
25
```

used when they pay by cash. If they pay by check, we don't 1 provide them with a payment receipt. The check works as a 2 payment receipt. 3 In this case, the first one is not my handwriting. 4 5 business partner's. And it shows that they upgraded from one box to another. It looked like at the end of the lease, the 6 7 one-year lease, they upgraded to a new box. And that would 8 be -- it looks up here as if the other documents are cash payments as well. 9 Okay. And I guess it's number 5, what is that? 10 11 Page number 5 is the authorization card that they signed upon signing up at National Security Centers. 12 And page number 6 and the following pages, what are those 13 documents? 14 This is the history card showing each time they accessed the 15 box. Like I said, when they use the signature card, we time 16 stamp the signature card and we time stamp the safe deposit 17 access history card. 18 And are all of these documents documents that were 19 maintained and stored at your business? 20 These documents are maintained and stored by us, and nobody 21 22 has access. Basically I do all the maintaining of the files, and this would be -- some of this is in their personal file for 23

that box, and other items would have been in a file that when

they come in were easily to be pulled.

24

```
Okay. Were all these documents made at or near the time of
    the information reflected in the documents? In other words, do
3
    the documents at least appear accurate as to when they were
    actually generated?
4
        Yes. These documents with the dates that they have is when
5
    they were date stamped, or if they have a handwritten date was
6
    the date that it took place.
    Q And is it a regular practice to make such a record like
 8
    these documents?
 9
        This is standard practice, and it's our responsibility to
10
    maintain these records.
11
        And they're kept in the regular course of business?
12
    A They are kept in the regular course of business.
13
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit No.
14
    13 into evidence.
15
             THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. Struckman? It will be
16
    admitted.
17
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 13 admitted.)
18
19
             MR. MAIETTA: Thank you, Your Honor. It will be brief,
    Your Honor.
20
         (By Mr. Maietta) Mr. Mitchell, could you look at the first
21
22
    page of Exhibit 13 again?
        Yes.
23
    Α
24
        And whose name appears above the word "tenant"?
```

The name that appears above the word "tenant" is Laura

25

Α

- 1 | Struckman.
- 2 Q And there's some numbers at the top of the page, 2057.
- 3 | Could you explain a little bit about what those numbers refer
- 4 to?
- 5 A Okay. The numbers at the top, it's -- if I'm correct,
- 6 | it's -- where it says, "The undersigned does hereby rent safe
- 7 deposit box number 2057," and that is crossed out when they
- 8 | upgraded, and there's a 711 that is listed. They never took
- 9 that box, because that turned out to be apparently a wrong size,
- 10 and they upgraded to the 2178. So they went from 2057 to the
- 11 upgrade 2178.
- 12 | Q Okay. And page number 2. Whose name appears on this
- 13 document, the address?
- 14 A And this is all in my writing. This is Laura and Dave
- 15 Struckman.
- 16 Q Okay. And does your name appear on this document?
- 17 A My name appears at the bottom.
- 18 Q Okay. And what box number is identified here?
- 19 A This was box number 2057, is their original box.
- 20 | Q And what year was this?
- 21 A This was in April of 1996.
- 22 | Q And how did they pay?
- 23 A They paid by cash.
- 24 Q And turn to page number 3.
- 25 A Page number 3 is a year later in April of 1997. Like I

- 1 | said, this is -- my business partner filled this one out, and
- 2 they paid in cash when they upgraded from box 2057 to 2178.
- 3 Q Okay. And is this upgrade reflected in the document?
- 4 A This upgrade is reflected in this document.
- 5 | Q And where is that?
- 6 A It is my handwriting in that portion. When Dave got done
- 7 changing the boxes, I wrote on here in several places upgrade,
- 8 | up in the right-hand corner, and then in the middle I wrote
- 9 upgrade from 2057 to 2178. And I do this for accounting
- 10 | purposes, so it's not missed.
- 11 | Q And you referred to a Dave. Who is that Dave?
- 12 A I'm sorry, Dave Heric, my business partner.
- 13 | Q And the next page. What name appears under the -- to the
- 14 | right of the word "to"?
- 15 A I'm sorry, I don't know where you're --
- 16 | Q Page --
- 17 A Oh, up to -- okay. The name there is Dave and Laura
- 18 | Struckman. And it's a name change at this point to Rainbow
- 19 | Holdings. And this was done, again, another year later in April
- 20 of 1998. And this is all my handwriting.
- 21 | Q And how did they pay for this?
- 22 A This was paid in cash.
- 23 Q And the next page, if you'd go to that.
- 24 A Okay. The next page is two signature cards.
- 25 Q And what's the box number?

- 1 A I'm sorry, authorization cards is what we typically use.
- 2 For box 2178. And it has two signatures on it. And, frankly, I
- 3 | can't make out the signatures. You would match those up. What
- 4 | happens when somebody signs, I match up and make the signature.
- 5 And if the signature matches, then I let them go in and do their
- 6 | pin code.
- 7 Q Okay. Turn to the next page. And what box number does this
- 8 document refer to?
- 9 A Are we looking at the history?
- 10 | Q Yes.
- 11 A The safe deposit access. That is box 2178.
- 12 Q And there's some initials or some writing on here?
- 13 A Yeah. The initials -- when we time stamp their signature
- 14 card, we also then time stamp the history card, and we put our
- 15 | initials. As you can see, Dave Heric is DH. My name, Dale
- 16 | Mitchell, is DHM. And there's a few other people that have
- 17 gotten involved when they come in. Specifically, Brian Sinclair
- 18 | would be the scribble that's BS, and the last is DO, which is
- 19 David Olson. So there's four parties active in this.
- 20 | Q Okay. Can you go to the next set of pages? Could you just
- 21 | briefly describe the significance of these documents and how
- 22 | they're used?
- 23 A The significance of this document, it's called the access
- 24 | ticket, is nobody is allowed into our facility unless they pull
- 25 this card and put their box number, and then where it says

1 signature or password, they sign that.

Like I said, I can't read some writings, so I match this -I pull the authorization card and match up the signature, and
most times people are pretty consistent. So I match up the
signatures, and that's what this is important for.

This allows them then to go into the man trap, which is the second level of security there. Their code. And if the code ties out to the authorization card, then they're allowed in the facility. So they have to have two items to get in, their signature and the code.

- 11 Q I want to back up very briefly. The original 2057 box --
- 12 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 13 | Q -- what was the size of that safe deposit box?
- 14 A The size of that box was our smallest box. It's
- 15 | 5-by-10-by-18. And the size of the 2178, I believe, which is
- 16 | \$210, is 15-by-10-by-18.
- 17 Q Is that a rather large safe deposit box that you rent?
- 18 A It's -- actually, our most common is the 5-by-10. When you
- 19 get to the 15-by-10-by-18, that is a larger box. Of the 2,000
- 20 boxes we have, 1,000 would be the 5-by-10. Actually, a very
- 21 | small percentage would be the 15-by-10. I couldn't tell you the
- 22 | quantity that we have.
- 23 | Q Okay.
- MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, no further questions for this witness.

```
THE COURT: Do you wish to ask any questions, Ms.
1
2
    Struckman? You may step down.
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, the United States calls a
3
    representative from King County clerk's office.
4
                                BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
    FRANCES GAINES,
5
             THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
6
7
    name and spell your last name.
             THE WITNESS: Frances Gaines, G-a-i-n-e-s.
8
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
10
    BY MR. MAIETTA:
        Ms. Gaines, is that right?
11
        That's right.
12
13
        Okay. Where do you work?
        For the recorder's office.
14
        And for what -- what government?
15
        King County recorder's office.
16
17
        Okay. What are some of your responsibilities in that
    position?
18
        I'm a supervisor for the customer service area.
19
20
        And what position do you hold again?
        Supervisor.
21
    Α
        Could you tell us some of your responsibilities, duties?
22
        I'm in the research part, where once you've recorded the
23
    document and you need to retrieve it, you would come to our
24
25
    area, and we would locate it and make out a certified copy for
```

you. MR. MAIETTA: Madam Clerk, I just want to pass to you 2 Government Exhibit -- we have a change in the exhibit. 3 identical, but it has a raised seal, and I just want to provide 4 that to you. 5 6 Is that --THE COURT: That's fine. Why don't you substitute it 7 for the one that she has. 8 9 MR. MAIETTA: And that's Government Exhibit No. 12. THE COURT: You can approach and hand it to the clerk. 10 MR. MAIETTA: With the Court's indulgence. I 11 apologize, Your Honor. 12 (By Mr. Maietta) Ms. Gaines, what type of documents do you 13 normally handle? When a document is filed, can you just tell me 14 how or explain to me how it's recorded? 15 A person can either walk in or send it through the mail, 16 17 bring the document in for recording. At that time the person at the front desk would take the document, record it, and then it 18 19 has to go through a scanning process, data entry. Okay. Could -- Government Exhibit No. 11, could you look at 20 that document, please? Can you tell whether that document was 21 mailed or whether somebody walked in? 22 This was a walk on. 23

This was a walk on. How can you tell that?

24

25

A

We put an X on it.

- 1 Q Is there anything else that identifies it as been -- having
- 2 been recorded with your office?
- 3 | A The number on the side -- well, there's two numbers. It
- 4 | gives you the year, month and date, and then the actual document
- 5 number.
- 6 Q Is there any other identifying mark on that? Is there -- is
- 7 | there any other identifying mark on that document, on the back?
- 8 A Well, the back is where I -- you know, one of us would
- 9 certify and make a copy of it.
- 10 Q So was that a certified --
- 11 A This is a certified copy.
- 12 Q And whose signature is on the back?
- 13 A This is mine.
- 14 Q Could we also look at the next government exhibit, No. 12?
- 15 And can you identify whether that document was either walked in
- 16 or mailed?
- 17 A This is a walk on also.
- 18 Q And was that document also filed with your office?
- 19 A Mm-hmm.
- 20 Q And how can you tell that?
- 21 A By the recording number, and this also is certified on the
- 22 | back by Dianne McKinnis.
- 23 | Q And you certified that?
- 24 A No, this one was done by Dianne McKinnis, and she also works
- 25 for the county.

```
And does that have a raised seal?
1
    A Yes, it does.
2
             MR. MAIETTA: Your Honor, the government moves to admit
3
    Exhibit No. 11 and No. 12 into evidence.
4
5
             THE COURT: They will be admitted.
            (Plaintiff's Exs. Nos. 11 & 12 admitted.)
6
 7
             MR. MAIETTA: Thank you. Court's indulgence.
        (By Mr. Maietta) Just two questions for you, ma'am.
8
    Government Exhibit No. 11, can you identify what name appears on
9
    that document?
10
        At the top where it says, "from"?
11
        Yes.
12
    Q
13
    Α
        That says David A. Struckman.
        And for Government Exhibit No. 12?
14
        That's Laura J. Struckman.
15
16
             MR. MAIETTA: No further questions, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Any questions, Ms. Struckman? You may step
18
    down.
19
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government calls Special
20
    Agent Michael Hardaway.
    MICHAEL HARDAWAY, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
21
             THE CLERK: Would you take the stand. State your full
22
23
    name and spell your last name.
24
             THE WITNESS: My name is Michael D. Hardaway,
25
    H-a-r-d-a-w-a-y.
```

## DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. ODULIO: 2 Where are you employed, sir? 3 With the Internal Revenue Service. Α 4 What is your position there? 5 I'm a Special Agent. Α 6 7 What is your educational background? I have a Bachelor's in business administration, emphasis in 8 accounting. 9 Do you hold any professional certifications? 10 Yes, I'm a certified public accountant. 11 Α How long have you been employed with the Service? 12 Q 13 Α Almost 13 years. And have you held any other positions other than being a 14 Special Agent? 15 16 Yes. When I was first hired on, I worked for about four 17 years as a revenue agent. What were your duties as a revenue agent? 18 19 Revenue agents conduct the audits, tax audits, typically of individuals, corporations, partnerships, so forth. 20 What are your duties as a Special Agent? 21 22 My primary duties as a Special Agent are to investigate alleged violations of the criminal tax, money laundering, or 23 currency reporting statutes under the jurisdiction of the 24

25

Internal Revenue Service.

```
Agent Hardaway, did there come a time when you joined an
1
    investigation concerning the Institute of Global Prosperity?
2
        Yes, yes, I did.
3
    Α
        And what is the Institute of Global Prosperity?
4
        The Institute of Global Prosperity is an organization that
5
    was founded by David Struckman and Daniel Anderson. Later the
6
    partnership was joined by a Lorenzo Lamantia. Global Prosperity
    sells cassette tape series, holds offshore seminars, where
8
    various views are espoused regarding the United States
 9
    government and taxation, amongst others.
10
        And what role, if any, did you have in this investigation,
11
    Agent Hardaway?
12
        My role essentially is to handle the Washington aspect of
13
    it, because the Struckmans reside in our area, the Struckmans,
14
    David and Laura, and any related individuals who live locally.
15
        Are you familiar with the defendant, Laura Struckman?
16
        Yes, I am.
17
        Can you identify her in the courtroom by her location and
18
19
    item of clothing?
20
        She's seated right over here at the defendant's table, and
21
    she's wearing a black blouse.
22
              MR. ODULIO: Let the record reflect the witness has
     identified the defendant.
23
24
              THE COURT: Yes.
```

(By Mr. Odulio) Are you familiar with the signatures of the

```
defendant and that of her husband, David Struckman?
1
        Yes, I am. I've seen their signatures probably literally on
2
    hundreds, if not in excess of a thousand documents.
3
        What kind of documents have you seen their signatures on?
4
        Mostly checks. But anything from those to passport
5
    applications, driver's licenses, vehicle title documents,
 6
    residential rental agreements, post office box, you name it.
 7
             MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, if the witness could be
 8
    handed Government's 18, Government's 19.
 9
        Open to Government's Exhibit 18, Agent Hardaway. What is
10
    that document?
11
        It's a passport application for Laura Jean Marie Struckman
12
    submitted to the United States Department of State.
13
        Did you obtain that document as part of your investigation?
14
        Yes, I did.
15
        Look into the front page of that document, Agent Hardaway.
16
17
    Is there an attestation that this is a true copy of the
    application?
18
19
        Yes, there is.
20
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government moves 18.
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
21
22
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 18 admitted.)
23
         (By Mr. Odulio) Look on the second page of this document,
    Agent Hardaway. Is there a signature that you recognize?
24
25
        Yes, I recognize the signature of Laura Jean Marie Struckman
```

```
135
    on this document.
1
        Is there an area of this document referring to a Social
2
    Security number?
3
        Yes, there is, item 6.
 4
        And what do you see there?
 5
    Q
       All zeros.
 б
        Who would have filled this out?
 7
              THE COURT: Counsel, I don't see how you can ask him
 8
    that question.
 9
             MR. ODULIO: Thank you.
10
         (By Mr. Odulio) If you'd look at Government 19. What is
11
12
    that document, sir?
        It's a passport application for David Alan Struckman that
13
    was submitted to the United States Department of State.
14
15
        And did you obtain that document as part of your
    investigation?
16
17
        Yes.
18
        Looking at the front page, is there an attestation that this
     is a true copy of this application?
19
        Yes, there is.
20
    Α
21
              MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, government moves 19.
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
22
23
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 19 admitted.)
    Q (By Mr. Odulio) Agent Hardaway, turning to the second page,
24
```

25

do you see a signature there?

- 1 A Yes, I recognize the signature of David Alan Struckman.
- MR. ODULIO: Could the witness be given Government's
- 3 Exhibits 1 through 3?
- 4 Q Agent Hardaway, if you'd look at Government's Exhibit 1. Is
- 5 | there a signature on this document that you recognize?
- 6 A There's two. I recognize the signature of David Alan
- 7 | Struckman and Laura Jean Marie Struckman on this document.
- 8 O And what is this document?
- 9 A This document is a signature card, bank account application
- 10 form for U.S. Bank for Crescent Moon Enterprises, and it was
- 11 | submitted -- or the signers on the account are David Alan
- 12 Struckman and Laura Jean Marie Struckman.
- 13 0 And is there a date?
- 14 A Yes, this was done on March 27th of 1996.
- 15 | Q Did you learn during the course of your investigation where
- 16 | this account was opened?
- 17 A This account was opened in the Lynden, Washington branch of
- 18 | U.S. Bank.
- 19 Q And where did the defendant and her husband live during this
- 20 | time period?
- 21 | A They resided in Renton, Washington.
- 22 | Q Do you know the address where they resided?
- 23 A 1750 Ferndale Avenue Southeast in Renton.
- 24 | Q And did they reside there from June 1997 until August 1998?
- 25 A Yeah, the entire time period, yeah.

- 1 Q Did you uncover any evidence that either the defendant or
- 2 | her husband lived in Lynden?
- 3 A None whatsoever, no.
- 4 Q And where is Renton in relation to Lynden, do you know?
- 5 A Lynden, Washington is approximately 115 miles north of
- 6 Renton. Lynden is very near the Canadian border, outside
- 7 | Bellingham, Washington.
- 8 Q Turn to Government's Exhibit 2, please. Do you recognize
- 9 that document, sir?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q And what is it?
- 12 A It's a signature card or bank account application form for
- 13 U.S. Bank for an entity Alternate Ventures submitted by David
- 14 Alan Struckman and Laura Jean Marie Struckman.
- 15 Q Do you recognize the signatures on the document?
- 16 | A Yes, I recognize the signature of David Alan Struckman and
- 17 | Laura Jean Marie Struckman.
- 18 Q And is there a date on this document?
- 19 A Yes, July 22nd, 1996.
- 20 Q And during the course of your investigation did you learn
- 21 | where this account was opened?
- 22 A This account also was opened in the Lynden, Washington
- 23 branch of U.S. Bank.
- 24 | Q Could you look at Government's Exhibit 3, please. What is
- 25 | that document?

- 1 A It's another signature card bank account application form
- 2 | for U.S. Bank for a Spectack-Ular Holdings, and it was submitted
- 3 | by -- or the signers are David Alan Struckman and Laura Jean
- 4 | Marie Struckman. It was submitted on October 10th of 1997.
- 5 | Q Are there signatures on that document that you recognize?
- 6 A Yes. I recognize the signatures of David Alan Struckman and
- 7 | Laura Jean Marie Struckman.
- 8 Q Turn to page 2 of this document. And the column on the
- 9 left, does it indicate what type of account this is?
- 10 A The box indicating non-profit is checked.
- 11 | Q And Exhibits 1 and 2, were there any indications of what
- 12 | type of accounts those were?
- 13 A Exhibit 2 indicates non-profit as well. And Exhibit 1 also
- 14 | indicates a non-profit.
- 15 Q Go back to Government's Exhibit 3, the third page. What is
- 16 | that document, Agent Hardaway?
- 17 A This is a form W-8 certificate of foreign status.
- 18 | Q And is there an entity on this -- listed on this form?
- 19 A Yes, a Spectack-Ular Holdings, with an address in Grand
- 20 Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies, is listed on this
- 21 document.
- 22 | Q And if you could turn the page, sir. Do you recognize that
- 23 | copy?
- 24 A Yes. This is a certificate of the trustee regarding
- 25 | Spectack-Ular Holdings. This document shows Spectack-Ular

- 1 | Holdings as a trust organized and domiciled in the Grand Turks
- 2 and Caicos Islands, and is signed by Laura Jean Marie Struckman
- 3 | and David Alan Struckman.
- 4 | Q Do you recognize those signatures?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Agent Hardaway, during the course of your investigation did
- 7 | you learn where the bank statements for these accounts were
- 8 | transmitted, the monthly bank statements?
- 9 A Yes. The monthly bank statements for Crescent Moon
- 10 | Enterprises, Alternate Ventures and Spectack-Ular Holdings were
- 11 | sent to two different mail drops.
- 12 | Q And what is a mail drop?
- 13 A A mail drop is basically a private mailbox, your everyday
- 14 | Mailboxes, Et cetera, or something similar.
- 15 | Q Which two statements were sent -- which two accounts'
- 16 | statements were sent to the same mail drop?
- 17 A Crescent Moon Enterprises and Spectack-Ular Holdings, they
- 18 were sent to a mail drop on 140th Avenue Southeast in Renton.
- 19 Q And the other account?
- 20 A Alternate Ventures was sent to a mail drop on Benson Road in
- 21 Renton.
- 22 | Q Agent Hardaway, are you familiar with Government's Exhibits
- 23 | 4, 5 and 6?
- 24 A Yes, I am.
- 25 | Q What is Exhibit 4?

- 1 A Exhibit 4 essentially represents the bank records for
- 2 | Crescent Moon Enterprises for the time period of June 1997
- 3 | through the closing of that account in May of 1998.
- 4 | Q Did you conduct an analysis on the -- of Government's
- 5 Exhibit 4?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 | Q What kind of analysis did you conduct?
- 8 A I typically -- typically when we analyze bank records, you
- 9 know, we first quantify the deposits or incoming activity and
- 10 | analyze the outgoing. Of course, we make sure that our records
- 11 reconcile with the bank records. We look at the deposit items,
- 12 we look at the checks and expenditures and analyze them for any
- 13 | kind of commonality, trends, specific individuals, places,
- 14 things like that.
- 15 | Q Are you familiar with Government's Exhibit 5?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 0 What is that?
- 18 A Those are bank records for the account Alternate Ventures
- 19 | for the time period of June of '97 through August of 1998.
- 20 | Q Did you conduct an analysis of that?
- 21 A Yes, the same type of analysis, you know, we conducted on
- 22 | Alternate Ventures as I described regarding Crescent Moon
- 23 | Enterprises.
- 24 | Q And are you familiar with Government's Exhibit 6?
- 25 A Yes. 6 is bank records for the bank account of

```
1 | Spectack-Ular Holdings for the time period October of '97, when
```

- 2 the account was opened, through August of 1998.
- 3 Q Did you conduct an analysis of those records?
- 4 | A I did the exact same kind earlier described.
- MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, if the witness could be given
- 6 | Government's Exhibit 17, please.
- 7 | Q Do you recognize that document, Agent Hardaway?
- 8 A Yes. I prepared it.
- 9 0 And what is it?
- 10 A This document essentially is a gross deposit summary of the
- 11 | bank records in Exhibits 4, 5 and 6.
- 12 | Q Agent Hardaway, does Exhibit 17 fairly and accurately
- 13 | summarize the deposit activities in Exhibits 4, 5 and 6?
- 14 A Yes, it does.
- MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government moves Exhibit
- 16 17.
- 17 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 18 (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 17 admitted.)
- 19 Q (By Mr. Odulio) If you'd look at Exhibit 17, Agent
- 20 | Hardaway, could you identify the meaning of the columns for us?
- 21 A Yeah. Column one, which lists the statement ending date,
- 22 | signifies the statement period. Business accounts at U.S. Bank
- 23 | always ended on the last day of the month. Column two is the
- 24 deposit activity for Crescent Moon Enterprises for each of the
- 25 | pertinent statement periods.

Column three is the deposit activity for the Alternate 1 2 Ventures bank account for -- again for the respective statement 3 periods. Column four is the deposit activity for the Spectack-Ular Holdings bank account again for each of the 4 5 respective statement periods. Column five, which is gross deposit totals, is -- merely 6 adds up the prior three columns, the deposit activity in 7 Crescent Moon Enterprises, Alternate Ventures and Spectack-Ular 8 Holdings bank accounts. 9 10 The sixth column, transfers between accounts, we wanted to segregate out transfers between accounts to get what we feel 11 is -- get at what we feel is a more accurate reflection of the 12 deposit activity, which is the last column, net totals, or net 13 deposit activity. 14 Agent Hardaway, there's a -- in the first column on the left 15 a statement ending date. There appears to be two statement 16 17 ending dates for June. Do you see that? 18 Yes, mm-hmm. Can you explain that? 19 20 Well, that month U.S. Bank, I think it was earlier testified to by Kim Reichert, U.S. Bank, due to a merger and equipment 21 change, actually issued two statements in June of 1998, when 22 23 they moved to the new equipment. I think they stopped with the old equipment as of 6/21, and then on 6/22 they started up with

the new equipment, and the first statement ends on 6/30.

24

- 1 | Q Could you read for the jury the totals of Crescent Moon
- 2 | Enterprises?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | 0 Sorry, in the time periods for which that covers.
- 5 A Okay. The first total represents the deposit activity for
- 6 Crescent Moon Enterprises from June of 1997 through the closing
- 7 of the account in May of 1998, and it was \$1,532,506.24.
- 8 | O Did you do the same for Alternate Ventures?
- 9 A I did as well. Deposit -- the deposit total for Alternate
- 10 | Ventures from June '97 through August of '98 is \$1,377,817.96.
- 11 | Q And for Spectack-Ular Holdings?
- 12 A Yes. The deposit total for Spectack-Ular Holdings from
- 13 October of '97, when the account was opened, through August of
- 14 | 1998, was \$929,359.43. For a total, when you add all three
- 15 accounts together, the next column it's \$3,839,683.63.
- 16 Q And, again, the effect on the next column on that figure?
- 17 A We identified transfers between the accounts of \$99,138.66.
- 18 We subtracted that from the gross deposit total to arrive at the
- 19 | last total of \$3,740,544.97.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. We'll take the afternoon recess
- 21 | for 15 minutes.
- 22 (Recess.)
- 23 | THE COURT: All right.
- 24 | Q (By Mr. Odulio) Agent Hardaway, during the course of your
- 25 | investigation did you obtain records from the Internal Revenue

- Hardaway direct 144 Service regarding the defendant and her husband, David 1 2 Struckman? Yes, I did. 3 If you could go to --4 MR. ODULIO: Madam Clerk, Government's Exhibit 23 and 5 Government's Exhibit 24. 6 Agent Hardaway, what is Government's Exhibit 23? 7 Exhibit 23 is a certificate -- certification of lack of 8 record from the Internal Revenue Service for Laura Jean 9 McNeeley, Social Security number 467-21-6848. 10 11 And --12 For the tax years 1997 and 1998. Are you familiar with this individual? 13 Yes. Laura J. McNeeley and Laura Struckman are the same 14 individual. McNeeley was her prior married name before she 15 married David Struckman. 16 Is there a certification on this document? 17 Yes, there is. 18 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government moves Exhibit 19
- 20 | 23.
- 21 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 22 (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 23 admitted.)
- 23 Q (By Mr. Odulio) Look at Government's Exhibit 24, Agent
- 24 | Hardaway. What is that document?
- 25 A That's a certification of lack of record for David Alan

## Hardaway - direct

```
Struckman for tax years 1997 and 1998.
2
        And does this document contain a certificate?
        Yes, it does.
3
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government moves 24.
4
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
5
             (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 24 admitted.)
6
        (By Mr. Odulio) And can you just in layman's terms explain
7
    what these two documents are?
        Essentially they mean that a search of the Internal Revenue
 9
    Service records were performed for any kind of evidence of a tax
10
11
    filing for Laura J. McNeeley and David Alan Struckman, and no
    record of any kind of tax filing for tax years 1997 and 1998
12
    were found.
13
14
             MR. ODULIO: Could the witness be handed Government's
    Exhibit 7?
15
16
        Are you familiar with Government's Exhibit 7?
17
    Α
        Yes.
        What is it?
18
        It's a -- it's a series of checks that came out of Exhibit
19
        Checks drawn on the Crescent Moon Enterprises bank account.
20
21
    All the checks are made out to cash. All of the checks are for
    amounts less than $10,000. And all of the checks are signed by
22
23
    Laura Jean Marie Struckman. And, lastly, all of the checks have
24
    a validation -- bank validation code on the back, which
25
    indicates that the check was indeed cashed for currency.
```

```
Q I'm sorry, you may have said this, Agent Hardaway. Did you come to a determination about the amounts on these checks?
```

- A Yeah, all the amounts were under \$10,000, yeah.
- 4 | Q Go to Government's Exhibit 8, please.
- 5 MR. ODULIO: And, Madam Clerk, we're going to move on to Government's Exhibit 9 next.
- 7 Q Agent Hardaway, what is -- are you familiar with 8 Government's Exhibit 8?
- 9 A Yes. It's a series of checks that were pulled from within 10 the Exhibit 5. It's a series of checks that were drawn on the 11 Alternate Ventures bank account. All of the checks were made 12 out to cash for amounts under \$10,000. All of the checks are 13 signed by Laura Jean Marie Struckman. And, again, all the 14 checks have the same bank validation code on the back, which
- 16 Q And if you'd look at Government's 9. Are you familiar with that?

indicates that the checks were indeed cashed for currency.

18 A Yes.

15

25

3

- 19 Q And what is that?
- A Again a series of checks pulled from within Exhibit 6,
  checks drawn on the Spectack-Ular Holdings bank account. All
  the checks are made out to cash. All of the checks are for
  amounts under \$10,000. All the checks but three were signed by
  Laura Jean Marie Struckman. The other three were signed by

David Alan Struckman. And all of the checks but 14 had that

## Hardaway - direct

- 1 | previously mentioned validation code on the back, which
- 2 | indicates that they were indeed cashed for currency.
  - Q What about the remaining 14 checks?
- 4 A Well, as previously testified to by Kim Reichert, at that
- 5 | time period in June, where the bank switched equipment, and the
- 6 | validation code started to -- it moved from the back of the
- 7 | check to the front of the check, and there's 14 checks like
- 8 | this. And this validation code can mean one of two things,
- 9 | either it was cashed for currency, or it was used to purchase a
- 10 | cashier's check.
- 11 | Q And as part of your investigation did you do anything
- 12 | further to resolve that question?
- 13 A Yes. All the cashier's checks purchased from the Spring
- 14 | Glen branch were reviewed during this time period to see if any
- 15 of these checks was actually used to purchase a cashier's check,
- 16 | and it was determined that none of the checks were used to
- 17 | purchase cashier's checks. Thus meaning that they were going to
- 18 be used to -- used to -- or cashed for currency.
- 19 | Q Just to make it clear, you're talking the last 14 items in
- 20 Exhibit --
- 21 A In 9, yes.
- 22 | Q -- Exhibit 9, is that right?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q If the witness could have Government's Exhibit 16. Did you
- 25 | conduct a -- did you make a summary of the cancelled checks

appearing in Government Exhibits 7, 8 and 9? 1 Yes, I did. Α 2 And what analysis did you do on Government Exhibits 7, 8 and 3 9? 4 Essentially did a listing or a spreadsheet of the checks 5 Α contained within Exhibits 7, 8 and 9. 7 And does Exhibit 16 fairly and accurately summarize the checks in Exhibits 7, 8 and 9? Yes. 9 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, the government offers Exhibit 10 16. 11 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 12 (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 16 admitted.) 13 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, with the Court's permission 14 we'd like to publish it. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 (By Mr. Odulio) Agent Hardaway, starting with the column 17 date of withdrawal, explain to the jury what those columns mean. 18 The date of withdrawal is the date of transaction, it lists 19 the date and the day of the week in which the currency 20 21 transaction took place. 22 Moving on to the next column. 23 The next column is the amount of the check, the amount of 24 the transaction. The next column lists the bank account upon 25 which the check was drawn and the respective check number. And

## Hardaway - direct

- 1 | the last column lists who signed the check, conducted the
- 2 transaction.
- 3 Q And as part of the investigation, did you total up the
- 4 | column amount payable to cash?
- 5 A Yes. All of the -- all of the transactions totaled
- 6 approximately \$966,000.
- 7 | Q Agent Hardaway, if we could go to Exhibit 9, the items for
- 8 | Spectack-Ular Holdings. If you can go to June 18th, 1998, check
- 9 | number 1511.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 | Q Is there a signature on that check that you recognize?
- 12 A Yes, the signature of David Alan Struckman.
- 13 Q And if you could go to checks number 1519 and 1520 in that
- 14 same exhibit.
- 15 A 1519 also has the signature of David Alan Struckman.
- 16 0 And 1520?
- 17 A Yes, the signature of David Alan Struckman on 1520.
- 18 MR. ODULIO: No more questions, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Any cross-examination, Ms. Struckman? You
- 20 may step down.
- 21 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, at this time the government
- 22 | would like the Court to take judicial notice that the U.S. Bank,
- 23 | Spring Glen branch, is located within the Western District of
- 24 Washington.
- THE COURT: It will do so.

MR. ODULIO: The government rests.

THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Struckman, do you wish to call any witnesses? All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to let you go home early, and we'll start up again, and we're going to start a little later tomorrow morning because one of the attorneys has another -- a doctor's appointment.

So we're going to start at -- I'm going to ask you to be here by 9:45. I'm going to try to start then. It may be ten o'clock before we can get started up. But you will be finished easily tomorrow, I'm reasonably comfortable.

So I'm going to excuse you for the day, and then tomorrow morning we'll start with the Court's instructions and then final argument, and the case will then be submitted to you. So have a nice evening. Please remember the Court's admonitions not to discuss the case with anyone, not even amongst yourselves, don't permit anyone to talk to you about the case, don't read, listen or watch anything about the case, and don't do any investigation or research regarding the matters under consideration here.

Have a nice evening. We'll see you tomorrow morning at 9:45. We'll be in recess.

(Recess.)

```
May 28, 2003 *
 1
        (Jury not present.)
 2
             THE COURT: Have you had a chance to look at the
 3
    instructions?
 4
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Do you have any problems with them?
 6
             MR. ODULIO: We'd like to just discuss four of them,
 7
 8
    Your Honor.
             THE COURT: All right.
 9
             MR. ODULIO: The first instruction, instruction number
10
    12, deals with the testimony of Shoshanna Szuch. We believe the
11
    proper instruction instead is from the Ninth Circuit pattern
12
    jury instructions 4.12, a witness who has pleaded guilty,
13
    instead of a witness who has been an accomplish.
14
              THE COURT: So it should read you have heard testimony
15
    from Shoshanna Szuch, who has pled guilty to --
16
17
             MR. ODULIO: To a crime arising out of the same events
    for which the defendant is on trial. This guilty plea --
18
              THE COURT: Hang on a second. Go ahead.
19
             MR. ODULIO: This guilty plea is not evidence against
20
    the defendant --
21
              THE COURT: All right.
22
              MR. ODULIO: -- and you may consider it only --
23
              THE COURT: All right.
24
              MR. ODULIO: -- in determining this witness'
25
```

```
believability. You should consider this witness' testimony --
1
             THE COURT: Why not just say you should consider such
2
 3
    testimony with greater caution than that of other witnesses?
             MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Any others?
 5
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, instruction number 15.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. ODULIO: I feel like instruction 16 covers the
 8
 9
    charts and summaries applicable in this case. Charts and
    summaries have been admitted into evidence.
10
             THE COURT: Yes, I think that's right. All right.
11
    Anything else?
12
13
             MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, instruction number 18
    identifies the co-conspirator as DS. The government asks that
14
    it just be -- his name be used, David Struckman. I believe that
15
16
    the evidence is ample in showing that David Struckman is an
    unindicted co-conspirator.
17
18
             THE COURT: So on line what, between 16 and 17?
             MR. ODULIO: 16 and 17.
19
20
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. ODULIO: And on the instruction number 23, the
21
     second sentence, it's missing a word. It should read, "A
22
     transaction which is a transfer." And that's directly from the
23
24
     statute, the code of federal regulations.
25
             THE COURT: Yes. Is that it?
```

```
MR. ODULIO: That's all for the --
1
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Struckman, do you have any
2
    exceptions to the jury instructions? And I hear no response.
3
    All right.
4
             MR. ODULIO: Another housekeeping matter, Your Honor.
5
    I don't know if you want to take the issue up of exhibits now.
6
7
    Exhibit 15, page 1 is the only portion that was asked to be
    received into evidence.
8
             THE COURT: What is that? Let me see it, Gail.
9
             MR. ODULIO: It's the Department of Licensing document
10
    related to --
11
12
             THE COURT: I just don't remember the record in that
13
    regard.
             MR. ODULIO: The other documents, part of that file is
14
15
    not applicable and is not relevant, because it deals with the
16
    previous owner of the boat.
             THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 15 will be limited to
17
18
    page 1 of the exhibit, and the others will be withdrawn.
19
             MR. ODULIO: And finally, Your Honor, the issue of the
20
    voluminous records. They were admitted in evidence. I'm not
21
    sure how the Court wishes to handle them.
22
             THE COURT: We'll send them up to the jury room.
             MR. ODULIO: Okay.
23
24
             THE COURT: Let's bring down to the jury.
25
         (Jury present.)
```

2 1

THE COURT: Please be seated, folks. Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence, it is my duty to instruct you on the law which applies to this case. Copies of these instructions will be delivered to you in the jury room for you to consult.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others. They are all equally important. You must not read into these instructions or into anything the Court may have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return - that is a matter entirely up to you.

The indictment in this case charges one crime against the defendant. Count 1 of the indictment charges the crime of conspiracy to structure a currency transaction. The crime alleged in the indictment occurred on or about June 3, 1997, and continued until on or about August 20, 1998.

The indictment is not evidence. The defendant has pleaded

not guilty to the charge. The defendant is presumed to be innocent and does not have to testify or present any evidence to prove innocence. The government has the burden of proving every element of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

A defendant in a criminal case has a constitutional rightnot to testify. No presumption of guilt may be raised, and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the fact that the defendant did not testify.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty. It is not required that the government prove guilt beyond all possible doubt.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense and is not based purely on speculation. It may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from lack of evidence.

If after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant guilty.

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of the sworn testimony of any witness and the exhibits

that have been received into evidence. In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- 1. Arguments and statements by the parties are not evidence. What the parties have said in their opening statements and in their closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the parties state them, your memory of them controls.
- 2. Questions and objections by the parties are not evidence. The parties may object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the question, the objection or the Court's ruling on it.
- 3. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken or that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some testimony and exhibits may have been received only for a limited purpose. Where I have given a limiting instruction, you must follow it.

And fourth, anything you may have seen or heard when the Court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence

is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find that another fact exists even though it has not been proved directly. You are to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to, the witness' memory, the witness' manner while testifying, the witness' interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice, whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony, the reasonableness of the witness' testimony in light of all the evidence, and any other facts that bear on believability. The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify.

You are here only to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charge in the indictment. Your determination must be made only from the evidence in the case. The defendant is not on trial for any conduct or offense not charged in the indictment. You should consider evidence about

the acts, statements, and intentions of others, or evidence about other acts of the defendant, only as they relate to this charge against this defendant. The defendant is on trial only for the crime charged in the indictment, not for any other activities.

You have heard testimony that the defendant made certain statements. It is for you to decide whether the defendant made the statements and, if so, how much weight to give to them. In making those decisions, you should consider all of the evidence about the statements, including the circumstances under which the defendant may have made them.

You have heard testimony from Shoshanna Szuch, who has pled guilty to a crime arising out of the same events for which the defendant is on trial. This guilty plea is not evidence against the defendant, and you may consider it only in determining that witness' believability. You should consider such testimony with greater caution than that of other witnesses.

You have heard evidence of other acts engaged in by the defendant. You may consider that evidence only as it bears on the defendant's motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or accident and for no other purpose.

You have heard testimony from persons who, because of education, or experience, are permitted to state opinions and the reasons for their opinions. Opinion testimony should be

judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness' education and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case.

Certain charts and summaries have been received into evidence. Charts and summaries are only as good as the underlying supporting material. You should, therefore, give them only such weight as you think the underlying material deserves.

The indictment charges that the offense occurred on or about a certain date or during a period of time. The proof need not establish with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

The defendant is charged in Count 1 of the indictment with having knowingly, intentionally and unlawfully conspired and agreed with D.S., an unindicted co-conspirator, known to the Grand Jury, to violate Title 31 USC, Section 5324(a)(3) by agreeing to structure or assist in structuring a currency transaction for the purpose of evading the reporting requirements of Title 31 USC, Section 5313 and Title 31 CFR, Section 103.22 with one or more domestic financial institutions, all in violation of Title 18 USC, Section 371.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of Count 1,

the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant agreed with David Struckman no later than on or about June 3, 1997, and continuing until August 20, 1998, to commit a criminal offense, that is an agreement to structure a currency transaction for the purpose of evading the reporting requirements required by law.

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

And, third, one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy, with all of you agreeing on a particular overt act that you find was committed.

I shall discuss with you briefly the law relating to each of these elements. A conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership - an agreement of two or more persons to commit one or more crimes. The crime of conspiracy is the agreement to do something unlawful. It does not matter whether the crime agreed upon was committed.

For a conspiracy to have existed it is not necessary that the conspirators made a formal agreement or that they agreed on every detail of the conspiracy. It is not enough, however, that they simply met, discussed matters of common interest, acted in similar ways, or perhaps helped one another. You must find that

there was a plan to commit the crime of structuring a currency transaction to evade the reporting requirements under the law.

One becomes a member of a conspiracy by willfully participating in the unlawful plan with the intent to advance or further some object or purpose of the conspiracy, even though the person does not have full knowledge of all the details of the conspiracy. Furthermore, one who willfully joins an existing conspiracy is as responsible for it as the originators.

On the other hand, one who has no knowledge of a conspiracy, but happens to act in a way which furthers some object or purpose of the conspiracy, does not thereby become a conspirator. Similarly, a person does not become a conspirator merely by associating with one or more persons who are conspirators, nor merely by knowing that a conspiracy exists.

An overt act does not itself have to be unlawful. A lawful act may be an element of a conspiracy if it was done for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. The government is not required to prove that the defendant personally did one of the overt acts.

A conspiracy may continue for a long period of time and may include the performance of many transactions. It is not necessary that all members of the conspiracy joined it at the same time, and one may become a member of a conspiracy without full knowledge of all the details of the unlawful scheme or the names, identities or locations of all of the other members.

Even though a defendant did not directly conspire with other conspirators in the overall scheme, the defendant has, in effect, agreed to participate in the conspiracy if it is proved beyond a reasonable doubt that:

The defendant directly conspired with one or more conspirators to carry out at least one of the objects of the conspiracy, the defendant knew or had reason to know that other conspirators were involved with those with whom the defendant directly conspired, and, three, the defendant had reason to believe that whatever benefits the defendant might get from the conspiracy were probably dependent upon the success of the entire venture.

It is no defense that a person's participation in a conspiracy was minor or for a short period of time.

Each member of the conspiracy is responsible for the actions of the other conspirators performed during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy. If one member of a conspiracy commits a crime in furtherance of a conspiracy, the other members have also under the law committed the crime. Before you may consider the statements or acts of a co-conspirator, you must determine whether the acts or statements were made during the existence of and in the furtherance of an unlawful scheme, and whether any offense was one which could reasonably have been foreseen to be a necessary or natural consequence of the unlawful agreement.

Therefore, you may find the defendant guilty of a crime as charged in Count 1 of the indictment if the government has proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

A person committed the crime alleged in that count, the person was a member of the conspiracy charged in Count 1 of the indictment, the person committed the crime in furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendant was a member of the same conspiracy at the time that crime was committed, and the crime fell within the scope of the unlawful agreement and could reasonably have been foreseen to be a natural -- necessary or natural consequence of the unlawful agreement.

The law of the United States provides that a financial institution, other than a casino, shall file a report of each deposit, withdrawal, exchange of currency or other payment or transfer, by, through, or to such financial institution which involves a transaction in currency of more than \$10,000.

You may find the defendant guilty of conspiracy to structure currency transaction reports whether or not the financial institution filed or failed to file a true and accurate currency transaction report.

The term "currency transaction" means the physical transfer of currency from one person to another. A transaction which is a transfer of funds by means of bank checks, bank draft, wire transfer, or other written order, and which does not include the physical transfer of currency, is not a transaction in currency

for this purpose.

As used in these instructions, the term "structure" refers to the manner in which a transaction was carried out.

Structuring occurs when a person acting alone or in Conjunction with, or on behalf of others, conducts or attempts to conduct one or more currency transactions at one or more financial institutions on one or more days, in any manner with the purpose of evading currency transaction reporting requirements.

Structuring includes breaking down a single sum of currency over \$10,000 into smaller sums, or conducting a series of cash transactions all at or below \$10,000, with the purpose of evading currency transaction reporting requirements. The transaction or transactions need not exceed the \$10,000 reporting threshold at any single financial institution on any single day in order to constitute structuring within the meaning of this definition.

A financial institution as an insured -- is an insured bank, the deposits of which are insured by the Federal Deposit

Insurance Corporation. The term "insured bank" means any bank, including a foreign bank having an insured branch, the deposits of which are insured in accordance with the law; and the term "non-insured bank" means any bank the deposits of which are not so insured.

An act is done knowingly if the defendant is aware of the act and does not act through ignorance, mistake or accident.

The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that her acts or omissions were unlawful. You may consider evidence of the defendant's words, acts or omissions, along with all the other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in Court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right. It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict, but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. However, nothing that I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be. That is entirely for you to decide.

Some of you have taken notes during the trial. Whether or not you took notes, you should rely on your own memory of what was said. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by the notes.

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your presiding juror will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it and advise the Court clerk that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the Court clerk, signed by your presiding juror or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing, and I will respond to the jury concerning the case only in writing or here in open court.

If you send out a question, I will consult with the lawyers before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question.

Remember that you are not to tell anyone -- including me -- how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt or innocence of the defendant until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

All right. The Government's final argument.

MR. ODULIO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait just one moment. 1 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, could I approach? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 (Brief Pause.) 4 THE COURT: Turn that just a little bit more so Ms. 5 6 Struckman can see it also. MR. ODULIO: Selling audio tapes is not illegal. 7 Selling tickets to seminars is not illegal. Making money, a lot 8 of it, doing either of those two things is not illegal. What is 9 illegal is the drawing of currency in a manner to avoid the 10 currency transaction report. 11 12 And the evidence has shown, as summarized in Exhibit 16, that the defendant and her husband agreed beyond a reasonable 13 doubt to structure the transactions to avoid that currency 14 transaction report. 122 transactions, totaling approximately 15 \$966,000, withdrawn in 14 months. 16 Let's start at the beginning, Government's Exhibit 1. 17 you'll take a look at that. It's a signature card for Crescent 18 Moon Enterprises opened on March 27th, 1996. We heard testimony 19 20 from an employee of U.S. Bank, Kimberli Reichert, that this 21 account was a W-8 account. Meaning that when a customer opens 22 it, they file a W-8 form, which is a certificate of foreign 23 status, and that they don't need a Social Security number or a 24 tax identification number to open the account. Ms. Reichert 25 also stated that this was a non-interest bearing account.

Meaning no interest was earned. Meaning the bank did not have to report interest to the IRS.

We also learned from her that Alternate Ventures -- I'm sorry, Crescent Moon was opened in Lynden, Washington, approximately 115 miles from Renton, where the defendant and her husband lived at the time.

Did they go all the way up to Lynden because it was close to Canada, where opening a W-8 account would be a little bit easier? And remember what Ms. Reichert said, that at this point the policy of U.S. Bank in opening W-8 accounts was to take the customer's word for it, to take the customer's word. The customer didn't have to provide any backup to show that they were indeed a foreign corporation or foreign ownership of that account. Also, the bank statements were sent not to the address of David Struckman and the defendant, but to a mail drop.

Exhibit No. 2, signature card for Alternate Ventures. Many of the same characteristics of the signature card of Crescent Moon Enterprises. A W-8 account. Meaning no Social Security number or TIN required to open it. A non-interest bearing account. Meaning that the IRS would not receive information about interest earned on it.

Statements sent to mail drops, rather than the residence of the defendant and her husband. And, again, opened in Lynden, Washington, approximately 115 miles from their residence in Renton.

Spectack-Ular Holdings, Exhibit No. 3, opened October '97.

Again, a W-8 account. Again, non-interest bearing. Again,
statements sent to mail drops, rather than their own residence.

And having already opened and established two existing accounts
with U.S. Bank, the defendant and her husband opened

Spectack-Ular Holdings in Renton.

Now, having opened these accounts in a manner as to avoid the Government's knowledge of them by using a W-8, by choosing it to be non-interest bearing, by having statements sent to the mail drops, the defendant and her husband used the accounts, they used them in this 14-month period to deposit 3.7 million dollars. And you'll find that summarized in Government's Exhibit 17. You'll find underlying records in Government Exhibits 4, 5 and 6.

But even though they had established these accounts using the W-8, even though they established these accounts using everything they could to conceal their ownership and their control over the accounts from the government, they still bumped up against government regulation. They couldn't help that. And that was a currency transaction report.

If you look at Exhibit 10, a quick scan of it, the currency transaction report, will tell you why the defendant and her husband took every precaution that they could to avoid it. But what did it ask for? A name, a Social Security number, an address, the name of the business, the date of birth. All

things that the defendant and her husband did not want the government to have. They did not want the government to be aware of these transactions. And why?

Government's Exhibits 23 and 24. During this time period, 1997 to 1998, neither the defendant, nor her husband, filed an income tax return. And that's why, in withdrawing the currency from these three bank accounts, they structured their transactions to avoid that report.

Now, how did they evade the currency transaction report? We heard from a 20-year employee of U.S. Bank, Sharon Ashley, someone who's worked at U.S. Bank, Spring Glen branch, in Renton for many, many years. An experienced merchant teller. Someone who deals with many customers and who has dealt with many customers over her career. But the defendant sticks out in her mind. She sticks out because the first time she saw the defendant the defendant came in and tried to cash a check exceeding \$10,000.

And what did Ms. Ashley do? She testified that she began to fill out a currency transaction report. And the defendant asked her, "What's that?" And the defendant asked her, "If I change the amount of this check to a figure not exceeding \$10,000, do you still have to fill out that currency transaction report?" And Ms. Ashley said, "No, I don't." And why does that stick out in Ms. Ashley's mind? The government submits it's because of the 122 transactions summarized in this exhibit.

Ms. Ashley testified the defendant and her husband, through their banking practice, actually had an effect on the cash inventory of her bank. As a merchant teller, she was responsible for having enough cash to service all of the business customers in the Spring Glen branch, and that she actually had to schedule in advance with the defendant when the defendant would be coming in to conduct her transactions.

Hundred dollar denominations, \$9,000 transactions day after day, as many as three or four times a week. And Ms. Ashley testified she had never had to service a customer such as this, someone who made such frequent withdrawals, someone who had such an impact on the cash inventory of the bank itself.

And we heard from David Bowden, who corroborated Ms.

Ashley's testimony. He had a conversation with the defendant and her husband, David Struckman, where they admitted to him that they made these cash withdrawals for amounts less than \$10,000 to avoid the currency transaction report. He also testified that they took this currency and they put it in a safe deposit box in Bellevue. And you can find that in Exhibit 13, the records for the safe deposit box.

David Bowden also gave us a picture of where this cash went and how they spent it. They dealt in cash, including a '32 Plymouth, which the defendant's husband purchased for cash in a brown paper bag, hundred dollar denominations, for \$28,000.

You'll see the title of documents in Government's Exhibit 14.

David Bowden also testified that the defendant -- the defendant's husband purchased a boat, again for cash, exceeding over \$20,000. And where is all this money coming from? We heard from Shoshanna Szuch, who has pled guilty to tax evasion in connection with the Institute of Global Prosperity, her activities, her employment.

And she testified, she told us, hey, this business was successful. They sold tapes. They sold tickets to these seminars. She attended the first one. There were 250, 300 people there. In subsequent seminars in 1997 and 1998 there were as many as 3,000 attendants. Over approximately \$6,000 each to attend.

She testified that the defendant's husband was a founder of this organization, and she testified that the defendant's husband, David Struckman, spoke about sovereignty at these seminars, and that the defendant was present when he did this.

And Ms. Szuch gave us an understanding of what -- her understanding of what sovereignty was. And you'll remember she gave us examples, revoking your Social Security number, using mail drops rather than your -- receiving mail at your own home, use of a W-8 bank account so you can open an account not using a Social Security number or a TIN, registering your assets in nominee names rather than in your own names, even though you're enjoying full control of those assets. These things are familiar, because these are the things done by the defendant and

her husband.

Look at Government's Exhibit 11 and 12, which are certified records of documents, signed affidavits filed by the defendant and her husband, hand delivered to the clerk's office on August 17th of 1999, where they placed on public notice the IRS, the Social Security Administration, the State of Washington taxing agencies, the DMV, all federal, state and local law enforcement, the legislative, the executive and the judicial branches, and all Judges and prosecutors, placed -- the defendant and her husband placed them on notice that they had no jurisdiction over their labor and property rights. If you look at that document, you'll see that they also renounced their U.S. citizenship and declared themselves citizen of a sovereign republican -- republican State of Washington.

They also used obviously the W-8 to open accounts, bank accounts, and they placed their assets in nominee names.

Exhibits 14 and 15 from the state Department of Licensing will show that the vehicle, the '32 Plymouth and the boat, was placed and registered in the name of Alternate Ventures.

Ladies and gentlemen, the defendant and her husband agreed to structure 122 transactions, each transaction for an amount under \$10,000, for the purpose of avoiding the currency transaction report. In 14 months they withdrew approximately \$966,000. Return a verdict of guilty.

THE COURT: Ms. Struckman, do you wish to make a final

```
statement? Hearing no response. Ladies and gentlemen, you can
1
    retire to the jury room. The exhibits and the Court's
2
3
    instructions will be delivered to you shortly.
        You can commence with selecting your presiding juror, and
4
    then start your deliberations after you get all the exhibits and
5
 б
    the jury instructions. We'll be in recess.
 7
        (Recess.)
       (Jury not present.)
 8
             THE COURT: Please be seated. Let's bring down the
9
10
    jury.
        (Jury present.)
11
             THE COURT: Please be seated, folks. Will the
12
13
    presiding juror please rise?
             PRESIDING JUROR: Beg your pardon?
14
             THE COURT: Has the jury reached a verdict?
15
16
             PRESIDING JUROR: Yes, they have.
             THE COURT: Will you hand it to the clerk, please.
17
         (Presiding juror complies.)
18
19
             THE COURT: The jury is -- or the verdict is in proper
    form. The clerk will read the verdict.
20
21
             THE CLERK: United States District Court, Western
22
    District of Washington, at Seattle, United States of America
23
    versus Laura Jean Marie Struckman, Case No. CR03-88C, verdict
24
    form. With respect to Count 1 of the indictment, we, the jury,
25
    find the defendant Laura Jean Marie Struckman guilty. Please
```

```
sign this form and inform the bailiff. Signed by Paul Grohe,
1
2
    foreperson.
             THE COURT: Do either of the parties wish to have the
3
    jury polled?
4
5
             MR. ODULIO: Yes.
             THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going
6
    to ask each of you two questions. The first question will be is
 7
    this your individual verdict. The answer to that question will
8
    be yes if you voted for the verdict as read by the clerk in all
9
10
    respects; no if not.
        The second question will be is this the verdict of the jury.
11
12
    The answer to that question will be yes if all 12 of the jurors
13
    voted for the verdict as read by the clerk in all respects; no
    if not.
14
        Juror No. 1, is this your individual verdict?
15
16
             JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
17
             JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Juror No. 2, is this your individual
19
20
    verdict?
             JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
22
23
             JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
             THE COURT: Juror No. 3, is this your individual
24
25
    verdict?
```

```
JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
1
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
2
             JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
3
             THE COURT: Juror No. 4, is this your individual
4
5
    verdict?
             JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
6
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
7
             JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
8
             THE COURT: Juror No. 5, is this your individual
9
    verdict?
10
11
             JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
12
             JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.
13
             THE COURT: Juror No. 6, is this your individual
14
    verdict?
15
             JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
17
             JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Juror No. 7, is this your individual
19
20
    verdict?
        JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
22
23
             JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
             THE COURT: Juror No. 8, is this your individual
24
    verdict?
25
```

```
JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
 3
             JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
             THE COURT: Juror No. 9, is this your individual
 4
 5
    verdict?
             JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
             JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Juror No. 10, is this your individual
10
    verdict?
11
             JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
12
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
13
             JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: Juror No. 11, is this your individual
15
    verdict?
16
             JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
17
18
             JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: And Juror No. 12, is this your individual
20
    verdict?
21
             JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
             THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury?
22
23
             JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
24
             THE COURT: Any reason why the jury should not be
25
    discharged?
```

178 Jury verdict 1 MR. ODULIO: No reason. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, in just a 2 3 moment I'm going to discharge you, but before I do so I want to thank you for your participation in this trial. You have the 4 deepest appreciation of all of us involved in the administration 5 of justice. 6 7 We know that participation in something like this that can have such a significant impact on somebody's life is not an easy 8 Thank you again. In just a moment you will be released 9 10 to report to the clerk. 11 Can we have a sentencing date and time? THE CLERK: August 8th, 9:00 a.m. 12 13 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I do something a 14 little unusual, and that is that I send a copy of the judgment that I enter when I sentence somebody to the jurors who sat on 15 16 that trial. If you don't want to receive a copy of that, just let Julie 17 know after you've been discharged here. But, otherwise, we'll 18 19 send you a copy of it, and you'll know what the sentence was as a result of your verdict. 20 21

Anything further?

MR. ODULIO: Nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess.

(Recess.)

25

22

23

24

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
- 5	
6	
7	
8	
9	I, Joseph F. Roth, Official Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is correct.
10	
11	
12	Joseph F. Roth
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
3 4	Pretrial matters
5	KIMBERLI REICHERT, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
7 8	SHARON ASHLEY, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
9	BY MR. ODULIO:
10 11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ODULIO: 95:4
12	DAVID BOWDEN, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
13 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAIETTA: 102:5
15 16	EILEEN BOMAN, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
17	DALE MITCHELL, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
18 19 20 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAIETTA: 116:18  FRANCES GAINES, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 128:4  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAIETTA: 128:9
22	MICHAEL HARDAWAY, BEING SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. ODULIO:
25	(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 10

,	Index	181
1	INDEX	
2	(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 14	
3	(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 15 (Plaintiff's Ex. No. 13 (Plaintiff's Exs. Nos. 11 & 12	123:18
4		131:6 134:22
5	(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 17	141:18
6	(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 23(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 24(Plaintiff's Ex. No. 16	
7	<u>.                                    </u>	140:12
8	Jury exceptions	
9	Jury verdictPg. 174	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25		